# "Solvent-Free" Photochemical Activation of CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> by (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in Supercritical Fluid Solution

James A. Banister, Andrew I. Cooper, Steven M. Howdle, Margaret Jobling, and Martyn Poliakoff\*, $^{\dagger}$ 

Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, England NG7 2RD

Received August 28, 1995<sup>®</sup>

We report the activation of H<sub>2</sub> and light hydrocarbons (CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) by Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> (Cp\* =  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>) in supercritical fluid solution. The reactions have been carried out both in the presence of a supercritical solvent (scCO<sub>2</sub>, scXe, or scCHF<sub>3</sub>) or "solvent-free" in the pure supercritical hydrocarbon (scCH<sub>4</sub>, scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, or scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>). As far as we are aware, this is the first use of scCH<sub>4</sub> as a solvent for photochemical reactions. The presence of H<sub>2</sub> in scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> greatly enhances the effectiveness of the C–H activation, but even in the presence of D<sub>2</sub>, Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et and not Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et is formed. Reaction of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub> occurs efficiently in CHF<sub>3</sub>, but C–H activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> is almost suppressed in this mixed scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/scCHF<sub>3</sub> fluid, possibly through H-bonding to the metal center. A technique for recovering the products from milligram-scale reactions is described.

### Introduction

Research into the activation of C-H bonds by transition-metal centers has seen an almost explosive growth in recent years. Much of this research, however, has avoided the lighter alkanes (e.g. CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, etc.) because of the problems of finding suitable solvents for these reactions. In a few cases, such as the recent example from Perutz and co-workers,1 the activation of the alkane is so selective that the problem of solvents does not arise; in their work, Os(H)<sub>2</sub>(P(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) was found to activate CH<sub>4</sub> in almost complete preference to the cyclohexane solvent. Generally, however, it is necessary to find a solvent which cannot undergo C-H activation or any other unwanted reaction. To this end, Graham and co-workers have used perfluoroalkanes as solvents<sup>2</sup> for studying the activation of CH<sub>4</sub> by Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> (Cp\* =  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>). Lees and Marx<sup>3</sup> used C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>6</sub> which, although aprotic, was subsequently shown by Perutz and co-workers to form isolable  $\eta^2$ -C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>6</sub> complexes under these conditions.<sup>4</sup> In a somewhat different approach, Bergman and his group have exploited the inertness of liquid noble gases at cryogenic temperatures for both preparative-scale<sup>5</sup> and spectroscopic studies of C-H activation.<sup>6</sup> It has been known for some time that liquid and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (scCO<sub>2</sub>) show a surprising lack of reactivity toward unsaturated metal centers,<sup>7</sup> and recently we described how this lack of reactivity can be

3723. Hoyano, J. K.; MacMaster, A. D.; Graham, W. A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7190.





exploited by using scCO<sub>2</sub> as an inert solvent for C–H activation of  $C_2H_6$  by  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  at ambient temperatures.  $^7$ 

However, the simplest and most elegant method of resolving the problem of solvents for C–H activation is to eliminate the use of solvents entirely. Such elimination was achieved by Graham and Rest,<sup>8</sup> who used solid CH<sub>4</sub> matrices at ca. 20 K, to observe the photochemical activation CH<sub>4</sub> by CpIr(CO)<sub>2</sub>. Other similar experiments in CH<sub>4</sub> matrices have since been reported,<sup>9,10</sup> but the small scale of these low-temperature experiments effectively precludes the recovery of the reaction products. Recently, we described a somewhat different approach to solvent-free C–H activation,<sup>7</sup> the use of supercritical C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), where the fluid is both the reactant and the solvent for the photochemical activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> by Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 1).

The efficiency of this reaction was strikingly enhanced by addition of  $H_2$  to the reaction mixture.<sup>7</sup> The reaction then proceeds via  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$ , which is generated more easily than  $Cp*Ir(CO)(H)C_2H_5$  from  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$ . These observations are reminiscent of those of Bloyce et al.,<sup>9</sup> who reported that  $CpIr(CO)H_2$  is highly efficient at activation in cryogenic  $CH_4$  matrices. The effect in  $scC_2H_6$  is particularly dramatic, because the the gaslike nature of  $scC_2H_6$  leads to complete miscibility with  $H_2$ , with concentrations of "dissolved"  $H_2$  almost an order of magnitude higher than those in a conventional sol-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> E-mail: Martyn.Poliakoff@Nottingham.ac.uk.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup> Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, March 1, 1996.
 (1) Osman, R.; Pattison, D. I.; Perutz, R. N.; Bianchini, C.; Peruzzini,

M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 513 –514.
 (2) Hoyano, J. K.; Graham, W. A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104,

<sup>(3)</sup> Lees, A. J.; Marx, D. E. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 1121.

<sup>(4)</sup> Belt, S. T.; Duckett, S. B.; Helliwell, M.; Perutz, R. N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 928.

<sup>(5)</sup> Sponsler, M. B.; Weiller, B. H.; Stoutland, P. O.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 6841. Weiller, B. H.; Wasserman, E. P.; Bergman, R. G.; Moore, C. B.; Pimentel, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8288.

<sup>(6)</sup> Mason, M. G.; Ibers, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 352.
(7) Jobling, M.; Howdle, S. M.; Healy, M. A.; Poliakoff, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1287.

<sup>(8)</sup> Whitwell, I.; Rest, A. J.; Graham, W. A. G.; Holmes-Smith, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1984**, 624.

<sup>(9)</sup> Bloyce, P. E.; Rest, A. J.; Whitwell, I. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1990**, 813.

<sup>(10)</sup> Partridge, M. G.; McCamley, A.; Perutz, R. N. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1994, 3519.



 Table 1. Critical Data for Selected Substances<sup>a</sup>

substance	$T_{\rm c}/{ m K}$	<i>P</i> <sub>c</sub> ∕MPa	$ ho_{\rm c}/({ m g/mL})$
CH <sub>4</sub>	190.5	4.604	0.162
$C_2H_4$	282.3	5.041	0.214
Xe	289.7	5.84	1.110
$CHF_3$	299.3	4.858	0.528
$CO_2$	304.1	7.375	0.468
$C_2H_6$	305.4	4.884	0.203

 $^a\,{\rm For}$  critical data for other substances, see the excellent compilation by Reid et al.  $^{44}$ 

vent under similar conditions. This high concentration of  $H_2$  has already been exploited in the generation of new dihydrogen complexes,<sup>11</sup> for hydroformylation,<sup>12</sup> and, most recently, for the hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> itself.<sup>13</sup>

Although the general course of the Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>/ scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> reaction is clear from our original experiments, the precise role of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> cannot be determined without isotopic tracers. In this paper, therefore, we describe more extensive experiments, with H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>, aimed at establishing whether D is incorporated during the C-H activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (see Scheme 2). Then, we extend the concept of "solvent-free" C-H activation to the reactions of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> with scCH<sub>4</sub> and scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> as well as show that such reactions can be carried out in scCO<sub>2</sub>, scXe, and scCHF<sub>3</sub>, although the efficiency is very low in this last fluid.

#### **Experimental Section**

(a) Materials. The critical parameters of all of the fluids used here are listed in Table 1. Xe (BOC Research Grade),  $CO_2$  (Air Products SFE grade),  $H_2$  (Air Products),  $CH_4$  (BOC),  $C_2H_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  (Air Products), and  $CHF_3$  (Aldrich) were used without further purification.  $D_2$  (BDH) was supplied in cylinders at a pressure lower than those required for many of these experiments. The gas was therefore compressed with use of a manual pump (High Pressure Equipment Co. pressure generator, Model 62-6-10).  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  was synthesized by literature procedures.<sup>14</sup>

(b) High-Pressure Cell. Our microscale apparatus for reaction chemistry in supercritical fluids has been described in some detail elsewhere.<sup>15</sup> Most of the reactions reported in this paper were carried out in the stainless steel cell shown



Figure 1. Schematic view of the high-pressure cell used for all of the supercritical experiments, apart from scCH<sub>4</sub>. The important features are as follows: (C) the cold finger which not only allows the contents of the cell to be frozen down but also provides additional volume; (HPV) the two high-pressure valves which permit fluid to be flowed through the cell for product recovery (see Figure 2); (PS) the pressure sensor (RDP Electronics); (T) the thermocouple; (W) the CaF<sub>2</sub> windows (15 mm diameter, 10 mm thickness; such a window is calculated to have a maximum safe operating pressure of 9000 psi, 600 bar, for an unsupported area of 3.5 mm radius). These windows are used for both IR spectroscopy and UV excitation. In these experiments, the cell was used with an optical pathlength between 1 and 7 mm, depending on the amount of product to be generated. The dark gray shading indicates the region occupied by the bulk of the fluid in the cell.

schematically in Figure 1. Initially, the required quantity of solid  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$ , typically 1–2 mg, is added to the cell either by undoing the coupling at the end of the cold finger or by removing the window holder. The cell is then resealed and evacuated to remove air (Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> is sufficiently involatile to be unaffected by this evacuation). Once the cell is evacuated, the fluid is added. Although the cold finger of the cell normally points vertically upward, the cell is inverted during the filling procedure, the cold finger is cooled using liquid nitrogen, and the fluid is condensed into the cold finger which is then warmed to room temperature. Alternatively, the cold finger can remain in liquid nitrogen while the cell is pressurized with H<sub>2</sub> gas over the frozen fluid. Once the cold finger has reached room temperature, the cell is allowed to equilibrate so that the fluid mixture throughout the cell/cold finger is homogeneous. The chemical outcome of the reactions was not sensitive to the precise quantity of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  used, because in all of the experiments except scCH<sub>4</sub>, the quantity of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> was at least 1 order of magnitude less than that required for a saturated solution.

**(c) UV Photolysis and IR Spectroscopy.** A Cermax 300 W UV lamp was used with an OP2 cold mirror to remove visible and near-IR radiation. A small front-silvered mirror was used so that the high-pressure cell could be irradiated *in situ* in the sample compartment of a Nicolet Model 730 FTIR interferometer and IR spectra could be collected at the same time (2 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution, 16K data points, 32K transform data points). Spectra were processed on a Nicolet Model 620 Data Station.

"Blank" experiments (i.e. photolysis of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in the appropriate fluid in the absence of added H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, etc.) have been carried out for all of the photochemical reactions described here. Prolonged UV irradiation of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in scCO<sub>2</sub> led to the appearance of weak IR bands at 1930 and 1759 cm<sup>-1</sup> assignable to  $[Cp*_2Ir_2(CO)_3]$  from literature values<sup>16</sup> (1933, 1755 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution) and 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup> assignable to  $[Cp*_2-Ir_2(CO)_2]$  from the reported value<sup>14</sup> (1702 cm<sup>-1</sup>, hexane solu-

<sup>(11) (</sup>a) Howdle, S. M.; Poliakoff, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1099. (b) Howdle, S. M.; Healy, M. A.; Poliakoff, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4804. (c) Banister, J. A.; Lee, P.; Poliakoff, M. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 3876.

<sup>(12)</sup> Rathke, J. W.; Klingler, R. J.; Krause, T. R. Organometallics **1991**, *10*, 1350. Klingler, R. J.; Rathke, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 804. Rathke, J. W.; Klingler, R. J. U.S. Patent 5,198,589, 1994.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Jessop, P. G.; İkariya, T.; Noyori, R. *Nature* 1994, *368*, 231.
(b) Jessop, P. G.; Hsaio, Y.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, *116*, 8851.
(c) Jessop, P. G.; Hsaio, Y.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1995, 707.
(d) Jessop, P. G.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *Chem. Rev.* 1995, *95*, 273.

<sup>(14)</sup> Ball, R. G.; Graham, W. A. G.; Heinekey, D. M.; Hoyano, J. K.; McMaster, A. D.; Mattson, B. M.; Michel, S. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 2023.

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Howdle, S. M.; Poliakoff, M. In *Supercritical Fluids-Fundamentals for Applications*; Kiran, E., Sengers, J. M. H., Eds.; NATO ASI Series E, Vol. 273; Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1994; p 527. (b) Poliakoff, M.; Howdle, S. M.; Kazarian, S. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 1275–1295.

<sup>(16)</sup> McGhee, W. D.; Foo, T.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8543.



**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of the procedure for product retrieval from the cell shown in Figure 1. (a) At the end of the reaction, the inlet valve is attached to a Lee Scientific Model 501 syringe pump. (b) This pump forces  $scCO_2$  through the cell and drives the reaction mixture (colored) out through a Jasco 880/881 back-pressure regulator. As the fluid passes through this regulator, the fluid is expanded in a controlled manner and any product dissolved in the fluid is deposited as shown. A key feature of this method is that high-pressure "stabilizing" conditions are maintained right up to the point of precipitation when the product is deposited by rapid expansion of the fluid.

tion). Apart from a gradual disappearance of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  during prolonged irradiation, the other blank experiments did not lead to the growth of any new bands in the  $\nu(C-O)$  or  $\nu$ -(Ir-H) regions of the IR spectrum.

The quantum yield for C–H activation is too low for use of the miniature flow reactor<sup>11c,17</sup> which we used to isolate Cr- $(CO)_5(C_2H_4)$  or  $CpMn(CO)_2(\eta^2-H_2)$ . Thus, in the present case we used a sealed cell and recovered the product subsequently, as shown schematically in Figure 2. A variety of different techniques were used to collect the products, including deposition onto a spectroscopic plate (e.g. KBr) for immediate IR characterization of the solid or deposition directly into the cold finger of a second high-pressure cell, which was then repressurized with scXe.<sup>18</sup> Larger quantities of material were required for NMR analysis, and a number of trials showed that much of the product was lost when it was deposited directly into an NMR tube. More efficient collection was achieved by use of the stainless steel collection device<sup>19</sup> illustrated in Figure 4 of ref 11c. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (293 K, Bruker 250 MHz) were obtained for products recovered in this way by dissolving the deposited solids in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (Aldrich): Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)<sub>2</sub>,  $\delta$  1.76 (s, 15H, Cp\*), -15.72 (s, 2H, Ir-H); Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^1$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$  7.30, 6.70, 5.90 (3  $\times$  m, 3  $\times$  1H,  $C_2H_3{}^{20}$  ), 1.64 (s, 15H, Cp\*).

(d) Supercritical CH<sub>4</sub>. Cooling the cold finger of the highpressure cell is an effective means of filling, but on occasions such as our experiments with CH<sub>4</sub>, it is desirable to cool an

(20) Assigned by analogy with published data (Haddleton, D. M.; Perutz, R. N. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1734). The S/N for Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^{1-}C_{2}H_{3}$ ) was insufficiently high to obtain conclusive evidence for the presence of a metal-hydride resonance. Although Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et could be precipitated and satisfactorily redissolved for our IR experiments, it appeared to decompose during our NMR procedure and no satisfactory NMR data were obtained.



**Figure 3.** (a) External view and (b) cross-section of the miniature high-pressure IR cell used for the experiments with scCH<sub>4</sub>. The cell is constructed from copper and is capable of being cooled to cryogenic temperatures. Parts are labelled as follows: (C. F.) mounting stud for cold finger of cryogenic cooler; (Indium) metal seals uniting the CaF<sub>2</sub> to the Cu cell body; (Pb) main lead seal between the halves of the cell; († and ↓) gas fill ports. Note that this cell has an optical pathlength of ca. 25 mm, much longer than that in the cell shown in Figure 1.

entire cell. It is extremely difficult to cool the cell shown in Figure 1 much below 0 °C without damaging the windows or the pressure seals. In contrast, Figure 3 shows a variabletemperature high-pressure cell which can be cooled as low as 20 K while still maintaining the integrity of the pressure seals. This cell was originally developed for experiments involving polymer films<sup>15b,21</sup> and was modified to provide an increased optical pathlength for use with scCH<sub>4</sub>. In the present experiments, the cell was loaded with excess  $Cp^*Ir(\overline{CO})_2$  (i.e. ca. 2–3 mg) and cooled, CH<sub>4</sub> was added, and the cell was warmed to the desired temperature for photochemical reaction. Alternatively, for studies of the solubility of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in scCH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> was condensed off-line into a high-pressure "bomb" cooled with liquid N<sub>2</sub> and, after it was warmed, this bomb was used as a source of high-pressure CH<sub>4</sub> to fill the cell directly at room temperature.

**Safety Hazard.** The experiments described in this paper involve the use of relatively high pressures and require equipment with the appropriate pressure rating. It is the responsibility of the individual researcher to verify that his or her particular apparatus meets the necessary safety requirements.

## Results

Addition of H<sub>2</sub> to scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> has already been shown to enhance the C–H activation of  $C_2H_6$  by  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$ . UV irradiation of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>/scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> produces a mixture of  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$  and Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et, but the amount of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et is considerably greater than would have been generated from the same quantity of  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  under the same photolysis conditions but in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>. Clearly, irradiation of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in an scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/D<sub>2</sub> mixture will lead to formation of Cp\*Ir- $(CO)D_2$  but, as explained above, the important questions are whether any  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$  will be formed and whether the other product will be Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et or Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et. Although NMR might seem to be the obvious means of analyzing such products, FTIR is both easier and more sensitive for these studies (see Experimental Section).

<sup>(17)</sup> Banister, J. A.; Howdle, S. M.; Poliakoff, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1814.

<sup>(18)</sup> Comparison of spectra of the original solution and of the redissolved material showed that the precipitation process did not cause significant changes in the composition of the reaction mixture, nor did the precipitation itself promote any reactions.

<sup>(19)</sup> The efficiency of the collection vessel was tested using the Fischer carbene complex  $W(CO)_5$ =CPh(OMe). This compound was selected because it has a solubility similar to that of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and also because and it is brightly colored (orange), so that one can see where the compound had been deposited. The carbene (35 mg) was placed in the supercritical cell and then flushed out through the backpressure regulator and into the collection vessel, and it was found that >90% of the carbene could be collected.

<sup>(21)</sup> Cooper, A. I.; Poliakoff. M. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, *212*, 611. Cooper, A. I. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, U.K., 1994.

Table 2. Wavenumbers<sup>*a*</sup> (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the  $\nu$ (C–O) and  $\nu$ (Ir–H) Bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and its Reaction Products in Different Supercritical Fluids

compd	scCO <sub>2</sub>	scXe	scC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	scC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	scCH <sub>4</sub>	scCHF <sub>3</sub>	assignt
$Cp*Ir(CO)_2^b$	2019.9	2023.5	2024.0	2023.3	2026.8	2016.9	a' v(C-O)
	1951.2	1958.4	1959.2	1959.3	1963.7	1948.0	a'' v(C-O)
$Cp*Ir(CO)(H)_2$	2140	2138.5	2140			2137	$\nu(Ir-H)^d$
•	1996.9	2002.4	2004.0			1991.3	$\nu(C-O)$
$Cp*Ir(CO)(D)_2$	1997.9	2003.1	2003.3				$\nu(C-O)$
• • • • • •	1540.0	1538.3	С				$\nu(Ir-D)^d$
Cp*Ir(CO)(H)Me	$2138^{e}$	2137			2142		$\nu$ (Ir–H)
• • • • • •	1990.4	1995.3			2000.1		$\nu(C-O)$
Cp*Ir(CO)(H)Et	2142	2141	2142			2135	v(Ir-H)
• • • • • •	1985.7	1990.9	1992.2			1976.4	$\nu(C-O)$
$Cp*Ir(CO)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)$		1965.0	1967.1	1959			$\nu$ (Ir–H)
$Cp*Ir(CO)(H)(\eta^1-C_2H_3)$		2144	С	С			$\nu(Ir-H)$
1 ( ) ( ) ( ) 2 ()		2005.9	2001.6	2005.9			$\nu(C-O)$
		1570.0					$v(C=C)^{f}$
		1263.3					$\delta(C-H)^{f}$
$Cp^*Ir(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2$		1180.6					$\delta$ (C-H)

<sup>*a*</sup> Spectra recorded at 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution, with error  $\pm$  0.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The precise wavenumbers show some temperature and pressure dependence; see figure captions for typical conditions. <sup>*b*</sup> Cf. 2020 and 1953 cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane.<sup>14</sup> <sup>*c*</sup> Band obscured by absorptions of the fluid. <sup>*d*</sup> Unresolved bands due to overlapping symmetric and antisymmetric vibrations of Ir(-H)<sub>2</sub> or Ir(-D)<sub>2</sub> moieties.<sup>22</sup> <sup>*e*</sup> Band observed after computer subtraction. <sup>*f*</sup> Assignments made with reference to the corresponding CpIr(CO)(H)( $\eta^{1}$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) complex.<sup>20</sup>

We therefore describe a series of FTIR experiments with  $H_2$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $scC_2H_6$  to establish which species are formed.

(a) Reaction with  $C_2H_6$  in the Presence of  $H_2$  or  $D_2$ . Figure 4b shows the spectrum obtained after photolysis of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  and  $D_2$  in scCO<sub>2</sub>. The  $\nu(C-O)$  region is similar to that observed with  $H_2$  (Figure 4a), apart from a very small wavenumber shift in the position between the bands of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)H_2$  and  $Cp^*Ir(CO)D_2$  (see Table 2). This difference in wavenumber occurs because coupling between the  $\nu(Ir-H)$  and  $\nu(C-O)$  vibrations in  $Cp^*Ir(CO)H_2$  shifts the two bands apart; replacement of H by D will remove this coupling and cause a small shift of the  $\nu(C-O)$  band to higher wavenumber compared to that of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)H_2$ . A similar but slightly smaller shift<sup>9</sup> has been observed for  $CpIr(CO)H_2/D_2$ .

A more obvious difference between the  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ spectra is the absence of a  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band,<sup>22</sup> noted by arrows in Figure 4a; instead, a weak band is observed for Cp\*Ir(CO)D<sub>2</sub> at ca. 1540 cm<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Figure 4b. The wavenumber of the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band is shifted by isotopic substitution slightly less than would be predicted for an isolated Ir-H diatomic; v(Ir-D)/v(Ir-H)= 1.390 (observed), 1.414 (predicted). The shift is, however, similar to those observed for other dihydrides of third-row metals.<sup>22</sup> The inherent weakness of the  $\nu$ -(Ir-D) band and the presence of atmospheric water vapor conspire to make the signal/noise ratio (S/N) of the spectra very poor in this region of the IR spectrum compared to that achievable for the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band, recorded under similar conditions (Figure 4a). This poor S/N means that, in our experiments, direct detection of the  $\nu(Ir-D)$  bands cannot be used quantitatively to investigate the outcome of reactions such as photolysis of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  in  $scC_2H_6/D_2$  mixtures.

The spectra shown in Figure 4 were recorded in  $scCO_2$ , where the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) region is largely free of



Figure 4. FTIR spectra showing the photochemical activation by  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  of (a)  $H_2$  and (b)  $D_2$  in scCO<sub>2</sub> solution. (a) Spectrum recorded after 60 min of UV photolysis of  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  in  $scCO_2$  (115 atm) with  $H_2$ , added to give a total pressure of 270 atm. The unlabeled bands are due to unreacted Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>; the bands labeled H are due to  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$ , and that marked with an asterisk is a relatively weak absorption of scCO<sub>2</sub> itself. The slope in the base line toward higher wavenumbers is also due to absorption by scCO<sub>2</sub>. (b) Spectrum recorded after 80 min of irradiation of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in scCO<sub>2</sub> (67 atm) and D<sub>2</sub> (14 atm). The  $\nu$ (C–O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)D<sub>2</sub> is labeled D. Note the absence of an  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band at the wavenumber marked by an arrow in spectrum b and the appearance of a weak band at ca. 1540 cm<sup>-1</sup> shown with expanded absorbance scale. The S/N in this region is rather poor, and this is emphasized by plotting an v(Ir-H) band of similar absor*bance* to the  $\nu(Ir-D)$  band immediately above in (a). Note that this v(Ir-H) band was recorded in a separate experiment from the  $\nu$ (C–O) spectrum.

absorption by  $scCO_2$ . In  $scC_2H_6$ , there are even problems in monitoring the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) region of the spectrum, because  $scC_2H_6$  itself has a broad absorption in this region which causes the base line to slope to high wave-

<sup>(22)</sup> Although *two* v(Ir-H) vibrations,  $a_1 + b_1$ , might be expected for an IrH<sub>2</sub> moiety, only *one* IR band is observed in practice for dihydrides such as Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>, because the vibrational coupling between the two Ir-H bonds is relatively small and the separation between the symmetric and antisymmetric vibrational modes is less than their line widths (see, e.g.: Duckett, S. B.; Haddleton, D. M.; Jackson, S. A.; Perutz, R. N.; Poliakoff, M.; Upmacis, R. K. *Organometallics* **1988**, *7*, 1526).



**Figure 5.** FTIR spectra recorded in scXe after UV irradiation of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> with (a) H<sub>2</sub> in scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and (b) D<sub>2</sub> in scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. In both cases, the reaction products were flushed out of the cell into a second high-pressure cell, using the procedure shown in Figure 2. scXe was then condensed into this second cell, and the products were redissolved. The bands are labeled as follows: (D) Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)<sub>2</sub>; (E) Cp\*Ir-(CO)(H)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>); (H) Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>; (S) unreacted Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>. In both spectra the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) region is shown as an inset with expanded absorbance scale.

number. Although such a base line can easily be corrected by computer subtraction, it is somewhat unappealing to draw mechanistic conclusions from spectroscopic data, all of which have been manipulated by computer. Therefore, we decided to precipitate our reaction products from  $scC_2H_6$  solution, using the procedure described in the Experimental Section. This precipitate is then redissolved in scXe, where there are no problems of solvent absorption.<sup>23</sup>

Thus, two separate experiments were carried out.  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  was dissolved in  $scC_2H_6$  containing either  $H_2$  or  $D_2$ . Each mixture was irradiated with UV light and the products (together with any unreacted Cp\*Ir-(CO)<sub>2</sub>) were deposited into a second high-pressure cell which was pressurized with scXe to redissolve the solids.<sup>24</sup> Photolysis conditions, gas pressures, etc. were kept as similar as possible in the two experiments. The resulting spectra are shown in Figure 5. The differences between the two spectra are not large, the most obvious being that the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band is much weaker, relative to the  $\nu$ (C–O) bands in the D<sub>2</sub> experiment (Figure 5b). There is also a small but significant shift in wavenumber<sup>25</sup> of one (and only one) of the the  $\nu$ (C–O) bands, as can be seen schematically in Figure 6. This shift is consistent with the formation of  $Cp*Ir(CO)D_2$  rather than  $CpIr(CO)H_2$  (see Figure 4 and Table 2).

Thus, the spectra confirm that  $Cp^*Ir(CO)D_2$  is formed during the photolysis of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  in  $scC_2H_6/D_2$ . Never theless, a weak  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band is present in Figure 5b, but this can be assigned on the basis of the relative



**Figure 6.** Schematic representation of the spectra in Figure 5, showing the separation (cm<sup>-1</sup>) between the various  $\nu$ (C–O) bands.

intensities of the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) and  $\nu$ (C–O) bands, as outlined in Figure 7. This analysis of the relative intensities indicates that the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band in Figure 5b can be attributed entirely to the formation of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et and that negligible amounts of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> are formed in this reaction. Furthermore, the separation of the  $\nu$ -(C–O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et and the low-wavenumber band of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> is unaltered within 0.01 cm<sup>-1</sup> between the H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> experiments (Figure 6), which suggests that insignificant amounts of Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et are generated.

There has been some argument over the effect of added CO on the efficiency of C–H activation by Cp\*Ir- $(CO)_2$ . For example, Marx and Lees found that 1 atm pressure of CO did not alter the quantum yield for C–H activation<sup>27</sup> but their deductions of an *associative* mech-

(27) Marx, D. M.; Lees, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 1121.

<sup>(23)</sup> For a general overview of liquid and supercritical Xe, see: *Molecular Cryospectroscopy*; Clark, R. J. H., Hester, R. E., Eds.; Advances in Spectroscopy 25; Wiley: London, 1994.

<sup>(24)</sup> All of these species,  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$ ,  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$ , and  $Cp*Ir(CO)-D_2$ , are quite soluble in scXe, and under the conditions of our experiments, the concentrations of all solutions were substantially below saturation.

<sup>(25)</sup> The IR spectra were recorded at a nominal 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution, and the position of the "peak" absorbance was picked automatically using the Nicolet SX software. The wavelength calibration of such FTIR interferometers is based on a He/Ne laser, which gives very high precision and reproducibility to the measurements (e.g. 0.01 cm<sup>-1</sup>, quoted in current Nicolet promotional literature). Of course, the data points in an spectrum are relatively sparse, typically 1  $\rm cm^{-1}$  apart in a 2 cm $^{-1}$  resolution spectrum. However, the precision of the peakpicking algorithms is much higher, at least 10 times higher than the nominal resolution. The reproducibility of the separation between wellresolved peaks, such as those in our experiments, would be expected to be even higher (Mills, I. M. Personal communication, 1995). Thus, we have used the separation of the bands rather than their absolute wavenumbers, because the absolute values show some slight dependence on the precise pressure and temperature of the scXe, while the separations are insensitive to the small differences which inevitably occur between experiments

<sup>(26)</sup> The presence of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> would increase the intensity of the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band relative to that of the  $\nu$ (C–O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)-(H)Et, while the presence of Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et would reduce the relative intensity. Therefore, if Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et were present in the appropriate relative concentrations (i.e. [Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>]:[Cp\*Ir-(CO)(H)Et] = 0.018:0.102 = 1:5.6), the two effects would cancel out and our analysis of the band intensities would fail to detect their presence. The chance of such a fortuitous cancellation occurring seems rather small. Similarly, our experiments do not definitively exclude the formation of some Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)D but, if it were formed, another fortuitous cancellation would be required to explain the observed spectra. As far as we are aware, the wavenumber of the  $\nu$ (C–O) bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et is unknown but, by analogy with Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>, one would expect a small but significant shift from the  $\nu$ (C–O) bands



**Figure 7.** FTIR spectra covering the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) and  $\nu$ (C– O) bands of the products obtained from three different photolysis experiments, which can be used to calibrate the relative intensities of the bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et: (a) spectrum obtained after photolysis of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>/scXe; (Va and Vb) corresponding regions of parts a and b, respectively, of Figure 5. From (a), we can measure the absorbance of the v(Ir-H) band of Cp\*Ir-(CO)H<sub>2</sub> to be 0.102 times that of the  $\nu$ (C–O) band. In (Va), the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band has an absorbance which is 0.120 times that of the  $\nu$ (C–O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>, somewhat more intense than the value (0.102) measured from spectrum (a). Since the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)-(H)Et are so close in wavenumber that they are essentially superimposed, this "extra" intensity can be reasonably assigned to the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et, which is therefore 0.120 - 0.102 = 0.018 times the intensity of the  $\nu$ (C–O) band. We can now apply these two values to assign the  $\nu$ (Ir-H) band in spectrum Vb. The  $\nu$ (C-O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et in Vb has an absorbance of 0.17. If the band were due entirely to Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et, we would expect the absorbance of the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band to be 0.018  $\times$  0.17 = 0.0306. This value is within experimental error of the observed absorbance, 0.031. This shows that Cp\*Ir(CO)-(H)Et predominates and that little if any other products are formed,<sup>26</sup> i.e. Cp\*Ir(CO)(D)Et or Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>. Note that the absorbance scales all refer to the v(C-O) region.

anism were contradicted by subsequent experiments with activation of Si-H bonds.<sup>28</sup> We investigated briefly the effects of added CO on the activation of  $scC_2H_6$ . We studied the photochemical reaction of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$ in  $scC_2H_6$  containing CO pressures of 7, 13, 23, 47, and 60 atm.  $Cp^*Ir(CO)(H)Et$  was formed at all concentrations except the highest, where  $C_2H_6$ :CO reached ca. 2:1. The probable reason that eventual inhibition of the C–H activation of ethane was observed is probably related to the high concentration of CO gas attainable in a supercritical solution. Subsequent heating of a solution of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)(H)Et$  in  $scC_2H_6$  containing CO resulted in the regeneration of Cp $*Ir(CO)_2$ .



Figure 8. FTIR absorption spectra illustrating the activation of (a)  $H_2$  and (b)  $C_2H_6$  in scCHF<sub>3</sub>. (a) Spectra recorded at 10 min intervals during UV irradiation of a solution containing Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> (100 atm) in scCHF<sub>3</sub> (total pressure 273 atm at 27.1 °C; see Table 1 for critical data). The bands are labeled as follows: (H) Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub>; and (\*) scCHF<sub>3</sub> itself. (b) Spectrum obtained after 45 min of UV photolysis of a solution containing Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (130 atm) in scCHF<sub>3</sub> (total cell pressure 269 atm at 27.1 °C). Irradiation of the solution produced Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et in relatively low yield, identified from the  $\nu$ (C–O) band (labeled E) and from an extremely weak v(Ir-H) band (inset), which could only be observed after performing a computer subtraction to remove the solvent absorption. Note that the  $\nu$ (C–O) band, E, has been colored merely to aid visualization and the coloring does not necessarily represent the true area of the band.

**(b)** Reaction with H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> in scCHF<sub>3</sub>. UV photolysis of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> in scCHF<sub>3</sub> leads to formation of Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> with an efficiency comparable to that observed in scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, scCO<sub>2</sub>, or scXe (see Figure 8a). This is interesting because scCHF<sub>3</sub> is considerably more polar than the other fluids<sup>29</sup> but this polarity does not affect the reaction with H<sub>2</sub>. However, the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) band is somewhat broader in scCHF<sub>3</sub> than in the other media,<sup>30</sup> probably as the result of the greater polarity of the fluid. There is no evidence, however, for the C–H activation of CHF<sub>3</sub> in either the presence or absence of H<sub>2</sub>.

In contrast, the photolysis of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  in a mixture of  $scC_2H_6$  and  $scCHF_3$  does lead to activation of  $C_2H_6$ but the activation is extremely ineffective even compared to that in pure  $scC_2H_6$ ; after 45 min of UV irradiation, only very weak bands assignable to  $Cp^*Ir$ -(CO)(H)Et could be observed (see Figure 8b and Table 2). This observation is quite surprising, given the relative ease of activation of  $H_2$  in  $scCHF_3$ . Subsequent addition of  $H_2$  to this  $scC_2H_6/scCHF_3$  mixture and further photolysis generates  $Cp^*Ir(CO)H_2$ , but unlike pure  $scC_2H_6$ , addition of  $H_2$  does not appear to have any effect on the C-H activation of  $C_2H_6$ . On the other

<sup>(28)</sup> Drolet, D. P.; Lees, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4186.

<sup>(29)</sup> Kazarian, S. G.; Poliakoff, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 8624.(30) See Figure 6 of ref 15b.

hand, low-temperature experiments in polyethylene matrices<sup>31</sup> have shown that C–H activation of the polymer by Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> is inhibited by H-bonding of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>COH to the Ir center, although the precise mechanism of this inhibition was far from clear. The interaction F<sub>3</sub>CH····Ir will, of course, be much weaker<sup>32</sup> than (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>COH···Ir, because of the lower acidity of CHF<sub>3</sub>. Nevertheless, it is possible that the H-bonding is sufficiently strong to influence the activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> in scCHF<sub>3</sub>.

(c) Reaction with scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. In principle, the reaction of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> is more complicated than the activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> because there are at least three possible products: Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^1$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), Cp\*Ir-(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), and Cp\*Ir( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. However, the IR spectra of these molecules are all well-documented through extensive studies of the activation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with CpIr(CO)<sub>2</sub>, particularly by Perutz and co-workers.<sup>20,33</sup>

As shown in Table 1,  $C_2H_4$  has a critical temperature only slightly lower than that of  $C_2H_6$ , and we have already reported how scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> can be used as a solvent for generating labile olefin complexes.<sup>17</sup> The chief disadvantage is that scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> has much stronger IR absorptions than  $scC_2H_6$  in the  $\nu(C-O)$  region. As before, this problem can be overcome by replacing scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> by scXe for spectroscopic analysis at the end of the reaction. Figure 9 shows spectra obtained in such an experiment, which demonstrate that FTIR can provide a *complete* characterization of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^{1}$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>). There are bands characteristic not only of the CO group but also of both the hydride and the vinyl groups. These spectra indicate that all three possible products are formed but that  $Cp*Ir(CO)(H)(\eta^1-CH=CH_2)$ predominates.

Perutz and co-workers<sup>33</sup> have shown that UV photolysis of CpIr(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) can lead to the formation of CpIr(CO)(H)( $\eta^1$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) in the absence of excess C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. In collaborative experiments with Perutz,<sup>34</sup> we have shown that a similar reaction can occur in scXe solution. In the present case, however, it is difficult to establish whether the formation of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^1$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) occurs via Cp\*Ir(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), because (a) the  $\nu$ (C-O) band of Cp\*Ir(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) is almost coincident with one of those of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> (Table 2) and (b) these overlapping bands are largely obscured by a broad IR absorption band of scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.

We attempted to establish the relative efficiency of C-H activation of  $C_2H_6$  and  $C_2H_4$  by a competition experiment.  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  was irradiated with UV light for a prolonged period in a mixture of  $scC_2H_6$  and  $scC_2H_4$ . The results were not conclusive, but the yields



**Figure 9.** IR spectra, recorded in scXe, showing the bands of the products of UV irradiation of a solution containing Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. As before, the mixtures of products were flushed out of the supercritical cell (see Figure 2); the solids were collected and redissolved in scXe. Since the  $\nu$ -(C–O) bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) overlap, the  $\nu$ (C–O) bands of unreacted Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> have been removed from the spectra by scaled computer subtraction. The bands are assigned to Cp\*Ir(CO)( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), Cp\*Ir(CO)-(H)( $\eta^1$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), and Cp\*Ir( $\eta^2$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, as listed in Table 2.

of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et and Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)( $\eta^1$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) appeared to be broadly in proportion to the relative concentrations of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> in the original mixture.<sup>35</sup>

(d) Reaction with scCH<sub>4</sub>. We have already reported<sup>7</sup> that  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  can activate  $CH_4$  in  $scCO_2$ solution and a similar reaction can be observed in scXe (see Table 2). In both fluids, there is evidence for the formation of another unidentified photoproduct, which is rather labile and decays rapidly when the UV irradiation is stopped. CH<sub>4</sub> is normally considered to be a "real gas" because its critical temperature is 115  $^{\circ}C$  lower than that of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (see Table 1). Thus, one would expect CH<sub>4</sub> to have a relatively low solvent power at ambient temperatures.<sup>36</sup> For spectroscopic experiments such as these, the low solvent power can be at least partially offset by the fact that CH<sub>4</sub> has almost no IR absorption in the  $\nu$ (C–O) region. It is therefore feasible to use optical pathlengths far longer than those which would be practical with scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or scCO<sub>2</sub>, both of which have combination bands in the v(C-O) region.

<sup>(31)</sup> Cooper, A. I.; Kazarian, S. G.; Poliakoff, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 206, 175.

<sup>(32)</sup> Cp\*(CO)<sub>2</sub>Ir···H bonding has been detected for a number of highly acidic fluoro alcohols. The spectroscopic evidence is a shift to high wavenumber of the v(C–O) bands: the stronger the interaction, the larger the shift (Kazarian, S. G.; Hamley, P. A.; Poliakoff, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, *115*, 9069). Any interaction with CHF<sub>3</sub> would be weak by comparison and therefore not easily detectable by this means. Indeed, the v(C–O) bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> are observed at *lower* wavenumbers in scCHF<sub>3</sub> than in other supercritical solvents (see Table 2), presumably as a consequence of the higher polarity of scCHF<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>(33)</sup> See: Bell, T. W.; Brough, S.-A.; Partridge, M. G.; Perutz, R. N.; Rooney, A. D. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 2933 and references therein.

<sup>(34)</sup> Jobling, M.; Perutz, R. N.; Poliakoff, M. Unpublished results.

<sup>(35)</sup> One of the more intriguing facets of supercritical fluids is the transient solute—solvent clustering which occurs at temperatures not far above  $T_c$  and which can lead to altered selectivity in the course of chemical reactions. A striking example of this was recently reported in which the product distribution of the photo-Fries rearrangement of naphthyl acetate was changed by clustering in scCO<sub>2</sub> doped with 2-propanol ( $T_c = 235$  °C) (Andrew, D.; Des Islet, B. T.; Margaritis, A.; Weedon, A. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 6132). In our case the values of  $T_c$  for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> are quite close (see Table 1) and the mole fraction of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (ca. O.5) sufficiently high that dramatic clustering effects are not anticipated and were not observed.

<sup>(36)</sup> For an excellent introduction to supercritical fluids, see: McHugh, M. A.; Krukonis, V. J. *Supercritical Fluid Extraction: Principles and Practice*; Butterworth-Heinemann: Boston, MA, 1994.



**Figure 10.** Plot showing the variation in solubility of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  in  $CH_4$  over a range of gas pressures at 275 K, as measured by the sum of the integrated IR absorbance (arbitrary units) of the two  $\nu(C-O)$  bands of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$ . The integrated rather than peak absorbance was used because the shapes of the bands change as the pressure is increased. Note that  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  is virtually insoluble at the critical pressure,  $P_c$  (669 psi), but that it increases rapidly when the density of the gas reaches its critical value,  $\rho_c$  (0.162 g/cm<sup>3</sup>).

Figure 10 shows the results of our qualitative measurements on  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$ . As far as we are aware,<sup>37</sup> there have been no previous measurements of the solubility of organometallics in scCH<sub>4</sub>. The compound only begins to dissolve in significant amounts when the density of CH<sub>4</sub> approaches the critical density,  $\rho_c$  (see Table 1). The dependence of solubility on pressure shows the "classic" shape normally associated with solids dissolving in supercritical fluids but, given the pressure limitations of our apparatus, we could not reach a high enough pressure to achieve saturation. Our conclusion was that the solubility was more than sufficient for a spectroscopic study but clearly the overall solubility of  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  in  $scCH_4$  is much lower than in the other fluids used in this paper. The wavenumbers of species dissolved in scCH<sub>4</sub> are higher than in the other fluids (see Table 2), an observation consistent with weaker solvent-solute interactions in scCH<sub>4</sub>. In contrast, scCHF<sub>3</sub> shows the largest shifts and, presumably, the strongest solvent-solute interactions.

Figure 11 summarizes the results of UV irradiation of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)_2$  in scCH<sub>4</sub>. The spectra clearly show that C-H activation occurs with formation of  $Cp^*Ir(CO)(H)$ -Me. The most interesting feature of the reaction is the apparent ease of C-H activation. As explained above, the activation of scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> by Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> is relatively inefficient. Even prolonged UV irradiation leads to a poor yield of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et unless H<sub>2</sub> is present. In



Figure 11. Series of IR spectra, recorded at 5 min intervals, showing the effects of UV photolysis (>300 nm, 30 min total irradiation time) of  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  in scCH<sub>4</sub> at 270 atm pressure and 295 K. The bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> are marked  $\overline{\downarrow}$ , those of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Me are labeled M. As in previous spectra, the  $\nu$ (Ir–H) region is shown as an inset with an expanded absorbance scale. The solution is more dilute than in the other fluids, but the absorbance values in these spectra are similar to those in the other figures, because the spectra were recorded with an optical pathlength of 25 mm, ca. 10-25 times longer than those used with the other fluids. Note also that the growth of the band of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Me is only partially matched by a decrease in intensity of the bands of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> because, unlike the other experiments, this experiment was performed with a saturated solution of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and more material dissolved during the irradiation.

# Scheme 3

$$Cp^{*}Ir(CO)_{2} + C_{2}H_{6} \xrightarrow{UV} Cp^{*}Ir(CO)(H)(C_{2}H_{5})$$

$$D_{2} \xrightarrow{CO} Cp^{*}Ir(CO)D_{2}$$

contrast, photolysis of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> in scCH<sub>4</sub> generates substantial amounts of Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Me. The barrier to activation by organometallic centers is predicted<sup>38</sup> to be low for CH<sub>4</sub>, and the efficiency of the reaction in scCH<sub>4</sub> may reflect this. On the other hand, it may merely be a consequence of the particularly high concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> under these conditions. (For a given density of the fluid, the *molar* concentration of scCH<sub>4</sub> will be considerably higher than for scC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>). Indeed, as explained below, the high molar concentrations of alkane and H<sub>2</sub> in supercritical solution may well account for the effect of added H<sub>2</sub>.

#### Conclusions

Our experiments have used supercritical hydrocarbons as both reactant and solvent, thereby removing any complications due to added solvent in the system. We have found that, in  $D_2/scC_2H_6$  mixtures, the first step is the photochemical activation of  $D_2$  to form  $Cp*Ir(CO)-D_2$  followed by the activation of  $C_2H_6$  and the formation of the <sup>1</sup>H product Cp\*Ir(CO)(H)Et (Scheme 3).

<sup>(37)</sup> For a recent review on methane and its chemistry, see: Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 987.

<sup>(38)</sup> Ziegler, T.; Tschinke, V.; Fan, L.; Becke, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 9177.

We have confirmed that photochemical C-H activation by Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> is more effective than by Cp\*Ir-(CO)<sub>2</sub>. A similar effect has been found by Rest and coworkers<sup>10</sup> in CH<sub>4</sub> matrices, where CpIr(CO)H<sub>2</sub> is a more facile precursor of CpIr(CO) than is CpIr(CO)<sub>2</sub>. Bloyce et al. showed that photolysis of CpIr(CO)D<sub>2</sub> in the presence of unlabeled neopentane led to the formation of CpIr(CO)(H)(neo-Pe) with D incorporated into the neo-Pe ligand.<sup>39</sup> However, our present experiments do not provide any information about possible incorporation of D into the ethyl group in the  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2/scC_2H_6/D_2$ system.

The reason for the greater efficiency of C-H activation by  $Cp*Ir(CO)_2$  and  $Cp*Ir(CO)H_2$  is not immediately apparent, because both compounds should generate the same 16-electron intermediate, Cp\*Ir(CO), which might be expected to react similarly irrespective of its origin. It should be noted, however, that only a small change in the relative rates of the processes would be sufficient to account for our observations. Thus, there are two plausible rationalizations of the effect, apart from invoking the possibility that photolyses of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> yield Cp\*Ir(CO) in different electronic states.

Rationalization 1. In our experiments, the concentrations of both C2H6 and H2 are many orders of magnitude higher than those used, for example, by Bergman and co-workers in time-resolved IR experiments on C-H activation,<sup>40</sup> experiments which led to the identification of a dissociative mechanism for C-H activation by Cp\*Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>. Very high concentrations of entering ligand favor associative over dissociative processes. Our observations could then be rationalized by assuming that (i) there is an associative pathway for reaction of Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>, which is accelerated relative to the dissociative reaction with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> by the high concentration of H<sub>2</sub>, and (ii) the combined effect of our UV lamp, the various UV extinction coefficients, and the quantum yields is to give a faster rate of formation of Cp\*Ir(CO) from Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> than from Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>.

Rationalization 2. Picosecond transient spectroscopy has shown that reaction between coordinatively unsaturated organometallic intermediates and solvent is extremely fast, occurring within 1 ps.<sup>41</sup> More surprising has been the observation that, even in conventional solvents (e.g. n-hexane), relaxation of vibrational excitation in metal carbonyl compounds is much slower,42 sometimes occurring on a timescale of  $10^2$  ps. One

would not expect these relaxation rates to be much faster in supercritical fluid solution because the densities of the fluids are less than those of conventional solvents and their molar concentrations are only slightly higher. Thus, our experiments may well involve reactions of vibrationally excited intermediates, because the UV photon energy in our experiments is almost certainly higher than the bond dissociation energy of one Ir-CO bond or of two Ir-H bonds.43 Therefore, photolysis of both Cp\*Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub> and Cp\*Ir(CO)H<sub>2</sub> should generate Cp\*Ir(CO) with excess energy and, given a difference in strengths of 1  $\times$  Ir–CO and 2  $\times$  Ir–H bonds, the amounts of excess energy in Cp\*Ir(CO) will be different for the two precursors. Our observations can then be rationalized by postulating a difference in reactivity between Cp\*Ir(CO) intermediates with different levels of vibrational excitation. This rationalization could be tested, in principle at least, by picosecond TRIR experiments.

As in our previous work with supercritical solvents,<sup>11c</sup> rapid precipitation provides a convenient and effective means of recovering the products of the C-H activation reactions, products which are relatively difficult to isolate from conventional solvents. These experiments underline the fact the supercritical fluids allow very small samples of organometallics to be manipulated with high precision. Scale-up of these C-H activation reactions is limited by the poor quantum yield of the process and, although we have shown that it is possible to generate enough product for <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis, FTIR has proved to be a surprisingly effective tool for characterizing the products of organometallic reactions under these conditions. Furthermore, the use of scCH<sub>4</sub> as a solvent opens up interesting new possibilities, particularly given its frequently unrecognized spectroscopic transparency.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC Clean Technology Unit for Grant Nos. GR/H95464 and GR/ J95065 and for a Fellowship to M.P. We also thank the Royal Society for a Fellowship for S.M.H., the Royal Academy of Engineering, BP International, BP Chemicals, and Nicolet Instruments Ltd. for support. We thank Mr. J. G. Gamble, Dr. M. W. George, Mr. M. Guyler, Dr. S. G. Kazarian, Dr. P. Mountford, Mr. T. P. Lynch, Professor R. N. Perutz, Mr. K. Stanley, Professor J. J. Turner, and Dr. R. J. Watt for their help and advice.

<sup>(39)</sup> Bloyce, P. E.; Rest, A. J.; Whitwell, I.; Graham, W. A. G.; Holmes-Smith, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 846. (40) Weiller, B. H.; Wasserman, E. P.; Bergman, R. O.; Moore, C.

B.; Pimentel, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8288.
 (41) See e.g.: Simon, J. D.; Xie, X. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 6751.

OM950669S

<sup>(42)</sup> Arrivo, S. M.; Dougherty, T. P.; Grubbs, W. T.; Heilweil, E. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 235, 247.

<sup>(43)</sup> See for example: Bergman, R. O.; Yang, G. K.; Peters, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3143.

<sup>(44)</sup> Reid, R. C.; Prausnitz, J. M.; Poling, B. E. *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*, 4th ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1986.