

01 **Urinary Lipocalins in Rodenta:**
02 **is there a Generic Model?**
03

04
05 **Robert J. Beynon, Jane L. Hurst, Michael J. Turton, Duncan H. L. Robertson,**
06 **Stuart D. Armstrong, Sarah A. Cheetham, Deborah Simpson, Alan MacNicoll,**
07 **and Richard E. Humphries**
08

09
10
11
12
13 **Abstract** It is increasingly clear that mediation of chemical signals is not the exclu-
14 sive domain of low molecular volatile or water soluble metabolites. Pheromone
15 binding proteins play an important role in mediating the activity of low molec-
16 ular weight compounds, while proteins and peptides can also act as information
17 molecules in their own right. Understanding of the role played by proteins in scents
18 has been derived largely from the study of Major Urinary Proteins (MUPs) in
19 the mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus*) and the rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). As part
20 of an ongoing programme to explore the diversity and complexity of urinary pro-
21 teins in rodents, we have applied a proteomics-based approach to the analysis of
22 urinary proteins from a wider range of rodents. These data suggest that many
23 species express proteins in their urine that are structurally similar to the MUPs,
24 although there is considerable diversity in concentration, in sexual dimorphism
25 and in polymorphic complexity. This is likely to reflect a high degree of species-
26 specificity in communication and the information that these proteins provide in scent
27 signals.
28

29 **1 Introduction**
30

31 Early views of pheromone chemistry were shaped in part by precedents derived
32 from the insect world. Thus, semiochemicals were considered to be low molecu-
33 lar weight, volatile molecules that were transmitted through the atmosphere from
34 sender to receiver. The (somewhat alliterative) “simple, single signal” model has
35 served well, but in higher animals it is necessary to invoke additional complexity.
36 First, it becomes more critical that the receiver of the signal is able to identify the
37 individual that transmitted the signal together with its status. The ability to recognise
38 individual conspecifics and/or kin and associate this with information about that
39 individual’s status and behaviour is likely to be critical to most social interactions
40 within vertebrate species, including competitor assessment, mate assessment, and
41

42
43 _____
44 Robert J. Beynon
45 University of Liverpool, Proteomics & Functional Genomics Group
r.beynon@liv.ac.uk

01 the development of relationships within social groups. Scents thus need to provide
02 a range of information that is clearly discriminable, and require different qualities
03 to transmit variable information about an animal's current status (e.g. social status,
04 reproductive status, health status) and invariant information about the animal's identity
05 (species, sex, relatedness, individual). Second, as scents are often deposited in
06 the environment in the form of scent marks or odour plumes to provide information
07 over a period of time, some information in scent needs to be sustained, whilst other
08 components will reflect temporal changes as the scent ages.

09 It might be expected, *a priori*, that information about the variable qualities of an
10 animal is encoded via metabolites that provide for plasticity of expression, while
11 invariant information about identity is more directly encoded in the genome. The
12 most obvious candidates for directly encoded components that signal owner identity
13 are proteins derived directly from the scent owner's genes, or peptides generated
14 indirectly by proteolytic degradation of proteins encoded in the genome (note
15 that any such peptides would need to be distinguishable from degradation products
16 derived from food sources or from infectious agents that would not provide invariant
17 identity information). Indeed, the emergent literature is providing increasing evidence
18 for the presence of proteins in scent marks, and the ability of the vomeronasal
19 system to respond to proteins or short peptides.

20 The family of proteins most commonly associated with the processes of chemical
21 communication are the lipocalins, a large and diverse family of small extracellular
22 β -barrel proteins with a hydrophobic calyx suitable for the transportation of small
23 hydrophobic molecules (Akerstrom, Flower and Salier 2000). Although there is a
24 low pairwise conservation of the specific amino acid sequence of lipocalins (often
25 $< 20\%$), the structure of these proteins is a highly conserved eight-stranded antiparallel
26 β -barrel with an internal hydrophobic calyx. The structure of most lipocalins is
27 stabilised by a disulphide bond linking the main β -barrel to the carboxyl terminus
28 of the protein. Lipocalins exhibit a wide specificity of natural ligand binding as the
29 dimensions of the hydrophobic calyx are highly variable and the parts of the protein
30 sequence responsible for ligand binding can tolerate a wide variety of amino acid
31 side chains (Skerra 2000). Individual lipocalins are classified according to a number
32 of highly conserved short sequences or typical structurally conserved regions
33 (SCRs; Flower 1996).

34
35

36

37 **2 A protein-based experimental approach**

38

39 The lipocalin family is characterised by a high rate of evolution and substantial
40 sequence divergence. As such, a genome based approach to lipocalin identification
41 in other species is less satisfactory as sequences derived from genomic data
42 from the rat or the mouse are unlikely to generate useful probes, for example,
43 for PCR amplification of genomic or cDNA. Moreover, the known genomes are
44 populated with many lipocalin genes, not all of which are involved in chemical
45 communication, or are expressed in scent secretions. As such, our approach has

01 been protein based, targeting the emerging methodologies of proteomics to the pro-
02 teinaceous components of scent marks. The advantages of such an approach are that
03 the proteins are observed directly in the scent secretion, it is possible to quantify
04 and examine the complexity of the scent proteins and, by mass spectrometry, to
05 assess the heterogeneity, sequence conservation and primary sequence data for each
06 protein. Once primary sequence data are obtained, even for short runs of amino
07 acids, the sequences can be used to search databases using alignment tools such
08 as BLAST or to specify the sequence of PCR primers. This approach has been
09 exemplified by our work on urinary lipocalins from the house mouse (Darwish
10 Marie, Veggerby, Robertson, Gaskell, Hubbard, Martinsen, Hurst and Beynon 2001;
11 Beynon, Veggerby, Payne, Robertson, Gaskell, Humphries and Hurst 2002; Beynon
12 and Hurst 2004; Armstrong, Robertson, Cheetham, Hurst and Beynon 2005; Robert-
13 son, Hurst, Searle, Gunduz and Beynon 2007), which has provided a paradigm for
14 the analysis of similar proteins from other species.
15
16
17

18 **3 Urinary lipocalins in *Mus musculus domesticus***

19
20 House mice (*Mus musculus domesticus*), thought to have become commensal some
21 10,000 years ago, live in territorial social groups in which the ranges of many indi-
22 viduals overlap (Hurst 1987a; Barnard, Hurst and Aldhous 1991). In this species
23 the urinary protein concentration can reach ~30 mg/ml in males, and over 99% of
24 the protein content is attributable to members of the lipocalin family: the major
25 urinary proteins or MUPs (Beynon and Hurst 2003; Beynon and Hurst 2004; Hurst
26 and Beynon 2004). MUPs are the product of a multigene family of approximately
27 30 genes and pseudogenes located on chromosome 4 (Bennett, Lalley, Barth and
28 Hastie 1982; Bishop, Clark, Clissold, Hainey and Francke 1982). Urinary MUPs
29 are synthesised in the liver and secreted into serum where they are rapidly excreted
30 by the kidneys. The synthesis of MUPs in the liver is sex-dependent, resulting in
31 a urinary protein concentration three to four times higher in post-pubescent male
32 mice than female mice (Beynon & Hurst 2004), and an even more pronounced
33 sexual dimorphism in expression in the closely related sub-species *Mus muscu-*
34 *lus musculus* (Stopkova, Stopka, Janotova and Jedelsky 2007). The sexual dimor-
35 phism extends beyond the total amount of protein—there are several proteins that
36 are expressed in a male-specific pattern (Armstrong et al. 2005). The expression
37 of MUP mRNA has also been detected in a number of secretory tissues including
38 the submaxillary, lachrymal, mammary, parotid, sublingual and nasal glands (Sha-
39 han, Denaro, Gilmartin, Shi and Derman 1987; Utsumi, Ohno, Kawasaki, Tamura,
40 Kubo and Tohyama 1999). In urine, multiple MUPs are expressed simultaneously,
41 leading to complex protein profiles. These profiles are highly polymorphic in wild-
42 caught mice, such that the overall MUP pattern expressed by each unrelated indi-
43 vidual is unique although the polymorphism is not evident in inbred strains that are
44 genetically homogenous (Robertson, Cox, Gaskell, Evershed and Beynon 1996;
45 Robertson, Hurst, Bolgar, Gaskell and Beynon 1997; Beynon et al. 2002).

01 MUPs bind pheromones within the hydrophobic calyx of their structure
02 (Bocskei, Groom, Flower, Wright, Phillips, Cavaggioni, Findlay and North 1992;
03 Zidek, Stone, Lato, Pagel, Miao, Ellington and Novotny 1999; Timm, Baker,
04 Mueller, Zidek and Novotny 2001), and delay their release from scents into the air
05 (Robertson, Beynon and Evershed 1993). A number of pheromones in mouse urine
06 show sex or status-specific expression. These have a number of reproductive priming
07 and behavioural effects including acceleration of female puberty onset (Novotny,
08 Jemiolo, Wiesler, Ma, Harvey, Xu, Xie and Carmack 1999) or puberty delay
09 (Novotny, Jemiolo, Harvey and et 1986), extension of oestrus (Jemiolo, Harvey
10 and Novotny 1986), inter-male aggression and male-female attraction (Jemiolo,
11 Alberts, Sochinski-Wiggins, Harvey and Novotny 1985; Novotny, Harvey, Jemiolo
12 and Alberts 1985).

13 Two pheromonally active ligands in mouse urine, 2,3-dehydro-exo-brevicommin
14 (brevicommin) and 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole (thiazole) (Bacchini, Gaetani and
15 Cavaggioni 1992; Novotny, Ma, Wiesler and Zidek 1999) are associated with uri-
16 nary MUPs following purification. In addition to the role of binding the pheromon-
17 ally active ligands *in vivo*, which may be important for transporting pheromones to
18 receptors in the vomeronasal organ, MUPs extend the duration of scent signals by
19 delaying the release of thiazole and brevicomin from urine marks after deposition
20 (Robertson et al. 1993; Hurst, Robertson, Tolladay and Beynon 1998).

21 Individual mice express a combinatorial pattern of MUPs (typically at least 7–12
22 isoforms) reflecting multiple allelic variants and multiple expressed loci (Robertson
23 et al. 1997). Among wild mice, individuals each express a different pattern even
24 when captured from the same population (Payne, Malone, Humphries, Bradbrook,
25 Veggerby, Beynon and Hurst 2001; Beynon et al. 2002), with the exception of very
26 closely related animals that have inherited the same haplotypes from their parents
27 (a 25% chance among outbred sibs, similar to MHC type sharing). The extreme
28 heterogeneity in the sequence of MUPs is mostly confined to strands B, C and D
29 and the intervening turns of the β -barrel structure (Beynon et al. 2002).

30 Recent work has indicated a number of potential chemical communication roles
31 for MUPs, as opposed to their ligands, in deposited urine (Beynon and Hurst 2004).
32 There is persuasive evidence that the MUP themselves are a source of olfactory
33 signals; stimulating increased competitive scent marking (Humphries, Robertson,
34 Beynon and Hurst 1999), puberty acceleration (Mucignat Caretta, Caretta and
35 Cavaggioni 1995) and pregnancy block (Peele, Salazar, Mimmack, Keverne and
36 Brennan 2003). More critically, it is clear that the pattern of MUPs expressed in the
37 urine encodes an individual ownership signal that allows individuals to distinguish
38 their own scent marks from those of other males (Hurst, Payne, Nevison, Marie,
39 Humphries, Robertson, Cavaggioni and Beynon 2001), and allows females to
40 recognise individual males (Cheetham, Thom, Jury, Ollier, Beynon and Hurst 2007).
41 Although airborne volatiles emanating from scent marks induce mice to investigate
42 the scent more closely, they only countermark when they can contact the scent
43 (Nevison, Armstrong, Beynon, Humphries and Hurst 2003) and then only when the
44 scent contains MUPs that are different from their own (Hurst, Beynon, Humphries,
45 Malone, Nevison, Payne, Robertson and Veggerby 2001). This suggests that

01 owner recognition involves detection of involatile MUPs through the vomeronasal
02 system.

03 Evolution of a MUP expression profile as a signal of individuality and kinship
04 is appealing, given the high sequence heterogeneity, stable expression patterns and
05 non-volatile nature of proteins. The high concentration of MUPs in urine and the
06 resistance of the β -barrel structure to denaturation or degradation are consistent with
07 a dual role of delivery and slow release of volatile signals, and stable encoding of
08 identity of the owner. It is increasingly important to explore the nature, complexity
09 and use of urinary lipocalins in other species, to assess the extent to which the sub-
10 tleties of the process in the house mouse may be generalised. In the remainder of
11 this chapter, we report an overview of our recent work on other rodent species

12

13

14 **4 Urinary lipocalins in *Mus macedonicus***

15

16 Three other *Mus* species (*M. macedonicus*, *M. spretus* and *M. spicilegus*) are closely
17 related to and occur sympatrically with *M. m. domesticus* in Europe and the Middle
18 East. These species live independently of humans, utilizing more scattered food
19 resources and thus live at much lower densities. We therefore sought to characterise
20 MUPs from *M. macedonicus* for comparison with the well characterised MUPs
21 from *M. m. domesticus*. Urine from *M. macedonicus* individuals demonstrated a
22 MUP-sized band on gel electrophoresis. However, when the samples were anal-
23 ysed by mass spectrometry, the urine from each male *M. macedonicus* contained a
24 single major protein species of mass 18742Da and all individuals were the same, in
25 marked contrast to *M. m. domesticus*. A combination of peptide mass fingerprinting
26 and tandem mass spectrometry/*de novo* sequencing revealed that this protein was
27 a kernel lipocalin, containing all three SCRs (Flower 1996), and differed by only
28 seven amino acid changes to the most similar protein that has been characterized
29 from *M. m. domesticus*. All of the amino acid changes were located at the surface
30 of the molecule and molecular modeling of the predicted protein of the *M. mace-*
31 *donicus* sequence demonstrated that the amino acid substitutions had little effect on
32 the tertiary structure—this protein was indubitably a MUP (Robertson et al. 2007).
33 At present, we lack data on *M. macedonicus* females.

34

35

36 **5 Urinary lipocalins in *Mus spretus***

37

38 In common with *M. macedonicus*, urine from male *M. spretus* also demonstrated
39 a MUP-sized band following gel electrophoresis. The proteins within this band
40 were analysed by high resolution anion exchange chromatography and electrospray
41 ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). The former technique produced an elution
42 profile consisting of just three peaks, in contrast to both the more complex patterns
43 observed previously from *M. m. domesticus* and the single major peak in *M. mace-*
44 *donicus*. Furthermore, similar analyses from five individual males resulted in near
45

01 identical profiles, in terms of relative peak area and chromatographic retention time.
02 The molecular mass of the proteins within the anion exchange peaks was subse-
03 quently determined by ESI-MS. Each peak was found to contain a single protein,
04 the masses of which were 18666Da, 18687Da and 18758Da, with the 18758Da pro-
05 tein being the most abundant. A peptide mass fingerprinting experiment performed
06 on the 18758Da protein confirmed that it shared considerable sequence identity to
07 MUPs from *M. m. domesticus* but also contained some differences in the amino acid
08 chain. *De-novo* sequencing of two Lys-C peptides from the 18758Da *M. spretus*
09 MUP characterised two such changes: these were A₁₀₃T and E₄₉D (*M. m. domesti-*
10 *cus/M.spretus*). Both substitutions involve amino acid residues on the surface of the
11 molecule and in the light of the *M. macedonicus* investigation, are thought to have
12 little effect on the structure. The MUP status in *M. spretus* females is not yet known
13 but under investigation.

14
15
16

17 **6 Urinary lipocalins in the Norway rat, *Rattus norvegicus***

18
19 *Rattus norvegicus* developed within the rodent family Muridae about 5–6 million
20 years ago (Verneau, Catzeflis and Furano 1998) and now is a commensal presence
21 virtually worldwide. As in the *Mus* species, *Rattus norvegicus* excrete a great deal
22 of protein in their urine (20 mg/day for mature males), most of which is lipocalin
23 formerly known as α_{2U} globulin (Chatterjee, Hopkins, Dutchak and Roy 1979) but
24 which is now more properly referred to as rat major urinary protein (rat MUP).
25 Rat MUPs are tissue and sex specific proteins under complex multihormonal and
26 developmental control (Kulkarni, Gubits and Feigelson 1985). They migrate to a
27 similar position as mouse MUPs on SDS PAGE gels and are structurally very sim-
28 ilar (Bocskei et al. 1992). Rat MUPs bind small hydrophobic ligands (Lehman-
29 McKeeman, Caudill, Rodriguez and Eddy 1998), although no endogenous ligand
30 has been identified as yet, and male urine has been implicated in puberty accel-
31 eration in female rats (Vandenbergh 1976) and the timing of lactational estrous in
32 dams (Schank and McClintock 1997). The rat MUPs belong to a multigene family
33 with more than 20 closely related isoforms (McFadyen, Addison and Locke 1999;
34 McFadyen and Locke 2000). As with the house mouse, rat MUPs are expressed in
35 salivary, lachrymal and mammary glands, but the highest concentration and com-
36 plexity is found in preputial glands which do not secrete MUPs in mice. Further,
37 only male rats express MUPs in liver, corresponding to the male-specific expression
38 of urinary MUPs in this species (MacInnes, Nozik and Kurtz 1986).

39 Most previous work on rat MUPs has been conducted with inbred or rela-
40 tively inbred laboratory rat strains that are likely to exhibit considerably reduced
41 phenotypic variation relative to the wild population, as we see in mice. As an
42 initial exploration of MUP expression, we analysed urine from nine wild-caught
43 male rats captured from several different populations in northern UK by isoelec-
44 tric focusing electrophoresis (IEF). The protein banding pattern was very similar
45 between individuals, consisting of two major and several minor bands. Peptide mass

01 fingerprinting (PMF) of the two main bands revealed them to be strong matches
02 to rat MUPs. Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) demonstrated
03 that the urine of each individual contained two principal proteins of 18714Da and
04 18730Da. The ESI-MS and PMF data allowed unambiguous identification of the
05 two main proteins as the rat MUPs AAA40642 (18714Da) and P02761 (18730Da),
06 both synthesised in the liver. One of the minor bands was identified as the rat
07 MUP Q63213 (18340 Da) which is also expressed in preputial and salivary glands
08 (Bayard, Holmquist and Vesterberg 1996; Saito, Nishikawa, Imagawa, Nishihara
09 and Matsuo 2000). The other minor bands are novel, previously unknown rat MUPs
10 and are currently being characterised. The overall pattern of rat urinary MUPs by
11 IEF and ESI-MS is remarkably consistent between individuals, contrasting that of
12 the wild caught *M. m. domesticus* urinary MUP profiles. Additional wild individuals
13 are being investigated to see if the rat urinary MUP pattern remains invariant.
14
15
16

17 **7 Urinary lipocalins in *Phodopus roborovskii***

18
19 The Roborovski hamster is closely related to the other dwarf hamster species-
20 the dwarf winter white hamster (*Phodopus sungorus*) and the Djungarian hamster
21 (*Phodopus campbelli*). All three dwarf hamster species live in extreme environ-
22 ments: *P. roborovskii* inhabits desert and semi-desert regions with little vegetation in
23 Russia, China, Manchuria and Mongolia, whereas both *P. sungorus* and *P. campbelli*
24 are native to the forest-steppe zone of central Asia. Dwarf hamsters are nocturnal
25 and live in a system of subterranean tunnels and nests formed by burrowing. The
26 extreme physical conditions in their natural habitats has caused dwarf hamsters to
27 adapt physiologically to conserve heat and water, while the harsh conditions also
28 limit the opportunities for breeding, resulting in a highly compressed reproduc-
29 tive cycle that enables rapid maturation of their offspring. Dwarf hamsters have
30 adapted to the limited water availability in their natural habitat by developing a
31 highly effective renal mechanism to concentrate urine and limit the volume of water
32 lost. The desert environment of *P. roborovskii* is the most extreme habitat of the
33 dwarf hamsters, consequently they are able to highly concentrate their urine to a
34 volume significantly less than that of *P. sungorus* and *P. campbelli* (Natochin Iu,
35 Meshcherskii, Goncharevskiaia, Makarenko, Shakhmatova, Ugriumov, Feoktistova
36 and Alonso 1994). Male dwarf hamsters respond to urine and other scents emitted
37 by females during different reproductive states, suggesting a combined set of odours
38 could provide precise information about female reproductive state (Lai and John-
39 ston 1994). Males can discriminate between male and female odour, and investigate
40 scent marks from males and females in a sex dependent manner (Reasner and John-
41 ston 1987). The frequency of urine marking is greater in males, particularly when
42 within a female's home area, while females mark at a constant rate irrespective of
43 location in the habitat.

44 Urinary protein output was assessed by measuring total protein and creatinine
45 concentration for six male and six female captive-bred *P. roborovskii* hamsters.

01 The protein:creatinine ratio was very similar for males (12.0 ± 0.8) and females
02 (13.5 ± 0.8). The similarity between the sexes was maintained when urinary proteins
03 were resolved by 1D SDS PAGE. For all individuals, two low molecular weight pro-
04 teins were apparent, one migrating at approximately 21 kDa and a second, smaller
05 protein migrating at approximately 6 kDa. The intensity and the relative abundance
06 of the 21 kDa and 6kDa bands were remarkably consistent across individuals. Pro-
07 teins were subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin, followed by MALDI-ToF mass
08 spectrometry of the resultant peptides. The mass spectrum of the tryptic peptides
09 from the male and female 21 kDa protein were virtually identical, demonstrating
10 that the 21 kDa protein in male and female urine is likely to consist of the same
11 protein(s). Similarly, the 6kDa protein yields the same mass spectrum in both sexes.
12 However, the lack of similar peptides derived from the 6 kDa protein and the 21 kDa
13 protein mean that the smaller protein is not a degradation product of the larger.
14 Peptide mass fingerprint analysis of the 21 kDa protein did not identify any statisti-
15 cally significant matching protein sequences from non-redundant protein sequence
16 databases. However, comprehensive mass spectrometric analysis and *de novo* pep-
17 tide sequencing have allowed us to define virtually all of the protein sequence of
18 the 21 kDa protein. From this, it is clear that the protein is a lipocalin (of similar
19 length, possessing all of the SCRs), and that it has sequence and structural features
20 that mean that it is most similar to the vaginal protein aphrodisin from the Syrian or
21 Golden hamster *Mesocricetus auratus*, a degree of sequence similarity that permits
22 the construction of a molecular model using aphrodisin as a template (M. J. Turton,
23 J. L. Hurst and R. J. Beynon *unpublished data*). The 21 kDa protein was present in
24 cage washes, in urine samples obtained by bladder massage and by direct recovery
25 from bladder urine—it is most unlikely that this is due to vaginal fluid contamina-
26 tion, especially since the same protein is present in equal amounts in males!

30 **8 Urinary lipocalins in the bank vole, *Clethrionomys glareolus***

31
32 The bank vole, *Clethrionomys glareolus* is the smallest of the vole species in
33 Britain. The habitat of *C. glareolus* is woodland and thick undergrowth, where
34 they travel along a system of worn routes either forced through the undergrowth
35 or in shallow tunnels to avoid attack from predators (e.g. owls, stoats and weasels).
36 *C. glareolus* is a polygamous rodent species, the mating season is early spring—
37 summer and over winter they form a mixed sex group of 2–4 females with some
38 of the last litter young and 1–2 males. During the mating season, this group
39 breaks up and mature females inhabit non-overlapping solitary home ranges close
40 to the over wintering site while males form hierarchical groups with larger home
41 ranges that overlap (Bujalska 1973). The size of female home ranges is determined
42 by their litter size and availability of food (Koskela, Mappes and Ylonen 1997;
43 Kapusta and Marchlewska-Koj 1998). The increased aggression and territoriality
44 of mature females during pregnancy and lactation decreases the size of home ranges
45 and increases the distance between neighbouring females, preventing home range

01 boundaries overlapping. Male *C. glareolus* form stable dominance hierarchies in
02 the mating season through brief fighting episodes and each inhabits a separate bur-
03 row. Some subordinates relocate to vacant areas and immature males live on the
04 breeding territories of females. Bank voles are nocturnal animals using scent from
05 urine, faeces and several skin glands for intraspecific communication.

06 Wild male *C. glareolus* scent mark their territories by depositing small urine
07 droplets or fine traces using the long brushlike hairs on the prepuce (Johnson 1975).
08 These scent marks appear similar to those of house mice, and indicate a specific and
09 controlled function for marking with urine. Paired male bank voles repeatedly urine
10 mark and over-mark in a new environment and, after the establishment of a hierar-
11 chical order, urine marking by the submissive vole is diminished while the dominant
12 vole urine marks the subordinate's burrow and nest area, consistent with a role for
13 the urine marks as territorial markers within a stable hierarchy (Rozenfeld 1987).
14 Females show heightened activity and interest in marking urine and preputial secre-
15 tions from dominant males. Protein in bank vole urine was identified at 13–14 kDa
16 in sexually mature males. The expression of this protein is thought to be androgen-
17 dependent as the protein was absent or weakly expressed in urine from females,
18 immature males and castrated males (Kruczek and Marchlewska-Koj 1985).

19 We characterized the urinary protein of *C. glareolus*. There was clear evi-
20 dence for a strong sexual dimorphism in adults (protein:creatinine ratio in males:
21 45.4 ± 3.2 ; females: 3.7 ± 0.9) such that males secreted approximately 10 times
22 as much urinary protein as females. The majority of the protein in male-derived
23 samples migrated at approximately 16 kDa, but in females a similarly sized protein
24 was apparent when samples were concentrated. However, the peptide mass finger-
25 print for the two sexes yielded unique sets of peptides with very little overlap, from
26 which we can infer that the urinary proteins of either sex are different gene products.
27 Thus far, we have characterized the male 16 kDa protein. On intact mass analysis,
28 two proteins, of average mass 16930Da and 16625Da were present in urine from
29 both laboratory bred and wild caught *C. glareolus*. A detailed *de novo* sequence
30 analysis of overlapping peptides obtained by digestion of the predominant 16930Da
31 protein with different endopeptidases allowed assembly of over 95% of the protein
32 sequence, and clear identification of this protein as a kernel lipocalin, in which all
33 three SCRs were present. The primary sequence showed greatest similarity to aphro-
34 disin, rather than MUP type sequences, and a model could be readily built using the
35 three dimensional structure of aphrodisin as a template (M. J. Turton, J. L. Hurst
36 and R. J. Beynon *unpublished data*).

37
38
39

40 9 Conclusions

41
42 Within the limitations of sample size and extent of characterization, several gen-
43 eral statements can be made in relation to the species described here, all of which
44 express substantial concentrations of urinary lipocalins. First, these urinary proteins
45 appear to be widespread across species that are not very closely related and occupy

01 different niches but use urine for scent communication. The urinary lipocalins seem
02 to exhibit less plasticity of sequence and structure than the broader lipocalin family,
03 which implies that they fulfil a specific role in chemical communication. Consider-
04 ing those proteins that have been characterized in detail (from *Mus* species, brown
05 rat, bank vole, Roborovski hamster), the emergent picture is of a protein between
06 150 and 170 amino acids that can readily be modelled onto the structures of either
07 mouse MUP or aphrodisin. This does not of course guarantee that the proteins fold
08 in a typical lipocalin beta barrel, but the modelling data are of sufficient quality
09 to suggest that this is a valid presumption. Second, sexual dimorphism in expres-
10 sion of urinary lipocalins varies considerably between species, from a lack of any
11 observed dimorphism in *P. roborovskii*, through greater investment in MUPs among
12 male house mice with only some MUP isoforms being male-specific, to entirely
13 male-specific expression of urinary MUPs in brown rats. This suggests that the role
14 of urinary lipocalins in sexual communication is strongly species specific and MUP
15 genes may be subject to strong sexual selection and rapid evolution.

16 A third compelling feature to emerge from these studies is the surprising lack
17 of polymorphic heterogeneity in species other than *M. m. domesticus*. The pattern
18 more commonly seen is of the expression of one or a few lipocalin variants with
19 a similar pattern of expression between individuals of the same sex. Even though
20 relatively small numbers of individuals have been examined, a comparable set of
21 samples obtained from *M. m. domesticus* reveals multiple urinary MUPs expressed
22 per individual with substantial inter-individual variation in the MUP profile. The
23 simpler lipocalin pattern in other species examined so far means that there is inade-
24 quate polymorphism in these proteins to provide an individual ownership signal in
25 urine. This may reflect differences in the population ecology of aboriginal species
26 such as *M. macedonicus* where individual recognition may be less important than
27 in commensal house mice, and might imply rapid expansion of the genome and
28 of the role of MUPs in commensal house mice to meet a species-specific require-
29 ment for individual and kin recognition. In these mice in particular, multiple males
30 and females live within close territorial social groups with extensive spatial overlap
31 between neighbours such that borders need to be vigorously defended (Hurst 1987b;
32 Barnard et al. 1991). The need to maintain territorial dominance scent marks and
33 advertise a stable signal of ownership may then be driven by such high density pop-
34 ulations and have led to selection for polymorphic MUP expression. However, it is
35 also clear that MUPs and MUP-like proteins are expressed in other glands involved
36 in scent communication, with similarities and differences between species. As yet,
37 there has been little exploration of individual heterogeneity in these proteins and
38 their functions in sent communication. Further exploration of urinary lipocalins will
39 do much to expand our understanding of the role of these proteins in behavioural
40 ecology. However, that exploration should focus as much on the proteins themselves
41 as their putative ligands.

42
43 **Acknowledgments** The research described in this chapter was supported by grants awarded to
44 RJB & JLH by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.
45

References

- 01
02
03 Akerstrom, B., Flower, D. R. and Salier, J. P. (2000) Lipocalins: unity in diversity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1482, 1–8.
- 04 Armstrong, S. D., Robertson, D. H. L., Cheetham, S. A., Hurst, J. L. and Beynon, R. J. (2005) Structural and functional differences in isoforms of mouse major urinary proteins: a male-specific protein that preferentially binds a male pheromone. *Biochem. J.* 391, 343–350.
- 05
06 Bacchini, A., Gaetani, E. and Cavaggioni, A. (1992) Pheromone binding proteins of the mouse, *Mus musculus*. *Experientia* 48, 419–21.
- 07
08 Barnard, C. J., Hurst, J. L. and Aldhous, P. (1991) Of mice and kin - the functional significance of kin bias in social behavior. *Biol. Rev.* 66, 379–430.
- 09
10 Bayard, C., Holmquist, L. and Vesterberg, O. (1996) Purification and identification of allergenic alpha (2u)-globulin species of rat urine. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1290, 129–34.
- 11
12 Bennett, K. L., Lalley, P. A., Barth, R. K. and Hastie, N. D. (1982) Mapping the structural genes coding for the major urinary proteins in the mouse: combined use of recombinant inbred strains and somatic cell hybrids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 79, 1220–4.
- 13
14 Beynon, R. J. and Hurst, J. L. (2003) Multiple roles of major urinary proteins in the house mouse, *Mus domesticus*. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 31, 142–6.
- 15
16 Beynon, R. J. and Hurst, J. L. (2004) Urinary proteins and the modulation of chemical scents in mice and rats. *Peptides* 25, 1553–1563.
- 17
18 Beynon, R. J., Veggerby, C., Payne, C. E., Robertson, D. H., Gaskell, S. J., Humphries, R. E. and Hurst, J. L. (2002) Polymorphism in major urinary proteins: molecular heterogeneity in a wild mouse population. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 28, 1429–46.
- 19
20 Bishop, J. O., Clark, A. J., Clissold, P. M., Hainey, S. and Francke, U. (1982) Two main groups of mouse major urinary protein genes, both largely located on chromosome 4. *EMBO J.* 1, 615–20.
- 21
22 Bocskai, Z., Groom, C. R., Flower, D. R., Wright, C. E., Phillips, S. E. V., Cavaggioni, A., Findlay, J. B. C. and North, A. C. T. (1992) Pheromone binding to two rodent urinary proteins revealed by X-ray crystallography. *Nature* 360, 186–188.
- 23
24 Bujalska, G. (1973) The role of spacing behavior among females in the regulation of reproduction in the bank vole. *J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* 19, 465–74.
- 25
26 Chatterjee, B., Hopkins, J., Dutchak, D. and Roy, A. K. (1979) Superinduction of alpha 2u globulin by actinomycin D: evidence for drug-mediated increase in alpha 2u mRNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 76, 1833–7.
- 27
28 Cheetham, S. A., Thom, M. D., Jury, F., Ollier, W. E. R., Beynon, R. J. and Hurst, J. L. (2007) MUPs, not MHC provide a specific signal for individual recognition in wild house mice. (unpublished manuscript).
- 29
30 Darwish Marie, A., Veggerby, C., Robertson, D. H., Gaskell, S. J., Hubbard, S. J., Martinsen, L., Hurst, J. L. and Beynon, R. J. (2001) Effect of polymorphisms on ligand binding by mouse major urinary proteins. *Protein Sci.* 10, 411–7.
- 31
32 Flower, D. R. (1996) The lipocalin protein family: structure and function. *Biochem. J.* 318, 1–14.
- 33
34 Humphries, R. E., Robertson, D. H. L., Beynon, R. J. and Hurst, J. L. (1999) Unravelling the chemical basis of competitive scent marking in house mice. *Anim. Behav.* 58, 1177–1190.
- 35
36 Hurst, J. L. (1987a) Behavioral variation in wild house mice *Mus domesticus* Ruddy - a quantitative assessment of female social organization. *Anim. Behav.* 35, 1846–1857.
- 37
38 Hurst, J. L. (1987b) The functions of urine marking in a free-living population of house mice, *Mus domesticus* Ruddy. *Anim. Behav.* 35, 1433–1442.
- 39
39 Hurst, J. L. and Beynon, R. J. (2004) Scent wars: the chemobiology of competitive signalling in mice. *Bioessays* 26, 1288–98.
- 40
41 Hurst, J. L., Beynon, R. J., Humphries, R. E., Malone, N., Nevison, C. M., Payne, C. E., Robertson, D. H. L. and Veggerby, C. (2001). Information in scent signals of competitive social status: the interface between behaviour and chemistry. In: A. Marchelewska-Koj, D. Muller-Schwarze and J. Lepri (Eds.), *Chemical Signals in Vertebrates* 9, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 43–50.
- 42
43
44
45

- 01 Hurst, J. L., Payne, C. E., Nevison, C. M., Marie, A. D., Humphries, R. E., Robertson, D. H.,
02 Cavaggioni, A. and Beynon, R. J. (2001) Individual recognition in mice mediated by major
03 urinary proteins. *Nature* 414, 631–4.
- 04 Hurst, J. L., Robertson, D. H. L., Tolladay, U. and Beynon, R. J. (1998) Proteins in urine scent
05 marks of male house mice extend the longevity of olfactory signals. *Anim. Behav.* 55, 1289–97.
- 06 Jemiolo, B., Harvey, S. and Novotny, M. (1986) Promotion of the Whitten effect in female mice
07 by synthetic analogs of male urinary constituents. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 83, 4576–9.
- 08 Jemiolo, D., Alberts, J., Sochinski-Wiggins, S., Harvey, S. and Novotny, M. (1985) Behavioural
09 and endocrine responses of female mice to synthetic analogs of volatile compounds in male
10 urine. *Anim. Behav.* 33, 1114–1118.
- 11 Johnson, R. P. (1975) Scent marking with urine in two races of the bank vole (*Clethrionomys*
12 *glareolus*). *Behaviour* 55, 81–93.
- 13 Kapusta, J. and Marchlewska-Koj, A. (1998) Interfemale aggression in adult bank voles
14 (*Clethrionomys glareolus*). *Aggressive Behavior* 24, 53–61.
- 15 Koskela, E., Mappes, T. and Ylonen, H. (1997) Territorial behaviour and reproductive success of
16 bank vole *Clethrionomys glareolus* females. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 66, 341–349.
- 17 Kruczek, M. and Marchlewska-Koj, A. (1985) Androgen-dependent proteins in the urine of bank
18 voles (*Clethrionomys glareolus*). *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 75, 189–92.
- 19 Kulkarni, A. B., Gubits, R. M. and Feigelson, P. (1985) Developmental and hormonal regulation
20 of alpha 2u-globulin gene transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 82, 2579–82.
- 21 Lai, S. C. and Johnston, R. E. (1994) Individual Odors in Djungarian Hamsters (*Phodopus*
22 *campbelli*). *Ethology* 96, 117–126.
- 23 Lehman-McKeeman, L. D., Caudill, D., Rodriguez, P. A. and Eddy, C. (1998) 2-sec-butyl-4,5-
24 dihydrothiazole is a ligand for mouse urinary protein and rat alpha 2u-globulin: physiological
25 and toxicological relevance. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 149, 32–40.
- 26 MacInnes, J. I., Nozik, E. S. and Kurtz, D. T. (1986) Tissue-specific expression of the rat alpha 2u
27 globulin gene family. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 6, 3563–7.
- 28 McFadyen, D. A., Addison, W. and Locke, J. (1999) Genomic organization of the rat alpha
29 2u-globulin gene cluster. *Mamm. Genome* 10, 463–70.
- 30 McFadyen, D. A. and Locke, J. (2000) High-resolution FISH mapping of the rat alpha2u-globulin
31 multigene family. *Mamm. Genome* 11, 292–9.
- 32 Mucignat Caretta, C., Caretta, A. and Cavaggioni, A. (1995) Pheromonally accelerated puberty is
33 enhanced by previous experience of the same stimulus. *Physiol. Behav.* 57, 901–3.
- 34 Natochin Iu, V., Meshcherskii, I. G., Goncharevskaia, O. A., Makarenko, I. G., Shakhmatova, E. I.,
35 Ugriumov, M. V., Feoktistova, N. and Alonso, G. (1994) [A comparative study of the
36 osmoregulating system in the hamsters *Phodopus roborovskii* and *Phodopus sungorus*]. *Zh.*
37 *Evol. Biokhim. Fiziol.* 30, 344–57.
- 38 Nevison, C. M., Armstrong, S., Beynon, R. J., Humphries, R. E. and Hurst, J. L. (2003) The
39 ownership signature in mouse scent marks is involatile. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 270, 1957–1963.
- 40 Novotny, M., Harvey, S., Jemiolo, B. and Alberts, J. (1985) Synthetic pheromones that promote
41 inter-male aggression in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 82, 2059–61.
- 42 Novotny, M., Jemiolo, B., Harvey, S., Wiesler, D. and Marchlewska-Koj, A. (1986) Adrenal-
43 mediated endogenous metabolites inhibit puberty in female mice. *Science* 231, 722–5.
- 44 Novotny, M. V., Jemiolo, B., Wiesler, D., Ma, W., Harvey, S., Xu, F., Xie, T. M. and Carmack, M.
45 (1999) A unique urinary constituent, 6-hydroxy-6-methyl-3-heptanone, is a pheromone that
accelerates puberty in female mice. *Chem. Biol.* 6, 377–83.
- Novotny, M. V., Ma, W., Wiesler, D. and Zidek, L. (1999) Positive identification of the
puberty-accelerating pheromone of the house mouse: the volatile ligands associating with the
major urinary protein. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 266, 2017–22.
- Payne, C. E., Malone, N., Humphries, R. E., Bradbrook, C., Veggerby, C., Beynon, R. J. and
Hurst, J. L. (2001). Heterogeneity of major urinary proteins in house mice: population and
sex differences. In: A. Marchlewska-Koj, D. Muller-Schwarze and J. Lepri (Eds.), *Chemical*
Signals in Vertebrates 9, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 233–240.

- 01 Peele, P., Salazar, I., Mimmack, M., Keverne, E. B. and Brennan, P. A. (2003) Low molecular
02 weight constituents of male mouse urine mediate the pregnancy block effect and convey
03 information about the identity of the mating male. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 18, 622–8.
- 04 Reasner, D. S. and Johnston, R. E. (1987) Scent marking by male dwarf hamsters (*Phodopus*
05 *sungorus campbelli*) in response to conspecific odors. *Behav. Neural Biol.* 48, 43–48.
- 06 Robertson, D. H., Cox, K. A., Gaskell, S. J., Evershed, R. P. and Beynon, R. J. (1996) Molecular
07 heterogeneity in the major urinary proteins of the house mouse *Mus musculus*. *Biochem. J.*
08 316, 265–72.
- 09 Robertson, D. H., Hurst, J. L., Bolgar, M. S., Gaskell, S. J. and Beynon, R. J. (1997) Molecular
10 heterogeneity of urinary proteins in wild house mouse populations. *Rapid Commun. Mass*
11 *Spectrom.* 11, 786–90.
- 12 Robertson, D. H., Hurst, J. L., Searle, J. B., Gunduz, I. and Beynon, R. J. (2007) Characterization
13 and comparison of major urinary proteins from the house mouse, *Mus musculus domesticus*,
14 and the aboriginal mouse, *Mus macedonicus*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* (*in press*).
- 15 Robertson, D. H. L., Beynon, R. J. and Evershed, R. P. (1993) Extraction, characterization and
16 binding analysis of two pheromonally active ligands associated with major urinary protein of
17 house mouse (*Mus musculus*). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 19, 1405–1416.
- 18 Rozenfeld, F. M. (1987) Urine marking by male bank voles (*Clethrionomys glareolus* Schreber,
19 1780 - Microtidae) in relation with their social status. *Mammalia* 51, 476–477.
- 20 Saito, K., Nishikawa, J., Imagawa, M., Nishihara, T. and Matsuo, M. (2000) Molecular evidence
21 of complex tissue- and sex-specific mRNA expression of the rat alpha(2u)-globulin multigene
22 family. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 272, 337–44.
- 23 Schank, J. C. and McClintock, M. K. (1997) Ovulatory pheromone shortens ovarian cycles of
24 female rats living in olfactory isolation. *Physiol. Behav.* 62, 899–904.
- 25 Shahan, K., Denaro, M., Gilmartin, M., Shi, Y. and Derman, E. (1987) Expression of six mouse
26 major urinary protein genes in the mammary, parotid, sublingual, submaxillary, and lachrymal
27 glands and in the liver. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 7, 1947–1954.
- 28 Skerra, A. (2000) Lipocalins as a scaffold. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1482, 337–50.
- 29 Stopkova, R., Stopka, P., Janotova, K. and Jedelsky, P. L. (2007) Species-specific expression
30 of major urinary proteins in the house mice (*Mus musculus musculus* and *Mus musculus*
31 *domesticus*). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 33, 861–9.
- 32 Timm, D. E., Baker, L. J., Mueller, H., Zidek, L. and Novotny, M. V. (2001) Structural basis of
33 pheromone binding to mouse major urinary protein (MUP-I). *Protein Sci.* 10, 997–1004.
- 34 Utsumi, M., Ohno, K., Kawasaki, Y., Tamura, M., Kubo, T. and Tohyama, M. (1999) Expression of
35 major urinary protein genes in the nasal glands associated with general olfaction. *J. Neurobiol.*
36 39, 227–36.
- 37 Vandenbergh, J. G. (1976) Acceleration of sexual maturation in female rats by male stimulation.
38 *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 46, 451–3.
- 39 Verneau, O., Catzeflis, F. and Furano, A. V. (1998) Determining and dating recent rodent speciation
40 events by using L1 (LINE-1) retrotransposons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 95, 11284–9.
- 41 Zidek, L., Stone, M. J., Lato, S. M., Pagel, M. D., Miao, Z., Ellington, A. D. and Novotny, M. V.
42 (1999) NMR mapping of the recombinant mouse major urinary protein I binding site occupied
43 by the pheromone 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole. *Biochemistry* 38, 9850–61.
- 44
45