

EXTERNAL EXAMINER NOMINATION PRO-FORMA

Please complete the form below, <u>referring to your CV where necessary</u>, to confirm your eligibility to act as an external examiner at the University of Liverpool.

1	Please provide details regarding your experience relating to designing and operating a of assessment tasks and procedures appropriate to your subject area;	variety
	Please provide details (mandatory field)	
2	Please provide details regarding your familiarity with the standard to be expected of st to achieve the award that is to be assessed;	tudents
	Please provide details (mandatory field)	
3	Please provide details of your awareness of current developments in the design and de of relevant curricula;	elivery
	Please provide details(mandatory field)	
4	Please provide details ofyour experience relating to the enhancement of the student learning experience.	
	Please provide details(mandatory field)	
5	Are you a member of a governing body or committee of the University of Liverpool or one of its <u>collaborative partners</u> , or a current employee of the University of Liverpool or one of its <u>collaborative partners</u> ?	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	
6	Do you have a close professional, contractual or personal relationship with a member of staff or student involved with the programme of study?	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	•
7	Do you have any colleagues who are recruited as students to the programme of study?	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	•
8	To the best of your knowledge, are you currently, or do you know if you might become in a position to influence significantly the future of any students on the programme of study?	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	
9	Are you aware of any reciprocal arrangement, involving University of Liverpool staff at your institution involving the same cognate programmes?	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	ı
10	Are you significantly involved in recent (within the last five years) or current substantive collaborative research activities with a member of staff closely involved in the delivery, management or assessment of the programme(s) or module(s) in question	Yes□ No□
	If yes please provide details	1
11	Please confirm the details and appointment dates of any other external examiner roles that you currently hold	
	Please list any external examiner posts that you currently hold	

HERMES Departmental nomination form and validation:

The below questions refer to sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 of the <u>Code of Practice on Assessment</u>, <u>Appendix H</u>.

1	Does the nominee have knowledge and understanding of UK sector agreed	Yes□
	reference points for the maintenance of academic standards and assurance and	No□
	enhancement of quality? (These include QAA Subject Benchmark Statements and	
	the UK Quality Code for Higher Education (QAA, 2011).)	
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
2	Does the nominee have competence and experience in the fields covered by the	Yes□
	programme of study, or parts thereof?	No□
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
3	Does the nominee have relevant academic and/or professional qualifications to at	Yes□
	least the level of the qualification being examined, and/or extensive practitioner	No□
	experience, where appropriate?	
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
4	Does the nominee have sufficient standing, credibility and breadth of experience	Yes□
	within the discipline to be able to command the respect of academic peers and,	No□
	where appropriate, professional peers;	
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
5	Is the nominee fluent in English and, where programmes are delivered and assessed	Yes□
	in languages other than English, fluent in the relevant language(s)?	No□
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
6	Does the nominee satisfy the applicable criteria set by any relevant professional,	Yes□
	statutory or regulatory bodies?	No□
	Please provide evidence from nominees CV or pro-forma.	
7	Is the nominee a former member of staff or student of the institution?	Yes□
		No□
7a	If yes, has a period of five years has elapsed and all students taught by or with the	Yes□
	external examiner completed their programme(s)?	No□
	Please provide details	110
8	Please confirm that the EE register has been checked to ensure there is not any	Yes□
	reciprocal arrangement, involving University of Liverpool staff at your institution in	No□
	the same cognate programmes"	110
	Please provide details	
9	Would this appointment constitute the succession of an external examiner by a	Yes□
	colleague from the examiner's home department, or equivalent, and institution;	No□
	If yes please provide details and submit an exceptional request to appoint	
	notwithstanding the CoPA to SAS	
10	Would this appointment constitute the appointment of more than one external	Yes□
	examiner from the same department, or equivalent, of the same institution;	No□
	If yes please provide details and submit an exceptional request to appoint	
	notwithstanding the CoPA to SAS	
11	If the nominee has previously been appointed as an external examiner at the	Yes□
	University, has a period of five years elapsed since their last appointment;	No□
i		
	If yes please provide details and submit an exceptional request to appoint	-

12	Can the department validate that the information held in the pro-forma confirms	Yes□
	that the external examiner meets the criteria set out in sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 of	No□
	the Code of Practice on Assessment- Appendix H.	

HERMES Faculty confirmation of nomination:

'On behalf of the Faculty I confirm that the above nominated external examiner meets the criteria set out in section 2.2.1 of Appendix H of the Code of Practice on Assessment, and does not contravene the criteria set out in section 2.2.2 of Appendix H of the Code of Practice on Assessment'

	Please add comment (mandatory)
	Please detail reason for rejection of nomination for department to action (mandatory)
Yes	O No

NOTE: If this is not completed by Faculty the Appointment will be rejected by SAS

Details of approved exceptional requests to appoint the nominee notwithstanding the code of practice on assessment should be available for Faculty approvers to view at the point of approval.