University of Liverpool: Note on research involving more than minimal risk	
Version 1 (November 2022)	ethics@liverpool.ac.uk

- 1 Research involving more than minimal risk that potentially requires a Central
- 2 University Research Ethics review
- 3 The University of Liverpool, in facilitating innovative and high-quality research,
- 4 expects that such research is carried out to a high ethical standard. Research ethics
- 5 reviews assess the likelihood and magnitude of risks, considering both the minimal
- 6 risk of serious harm, and moderate risk of minimal harm, as ethical considerations
- 7 are different in each situation.
- 8 The University's two-tiered research ethics review process
- 9 The University operates a two-tiered system of research ethics review based on
- 10 potential ethical risks: with one tier comprising of research posing 'minimal risk', and
- the other of research posing 'more than minimal risk'.
- 12 *Minimal risk research* is reviewed within a pool of reviewers at a Research
- 13 Ethics Committee within a Faculty (without the need for formal committee
- 14 meetings).
- 15 More than minimal risk research is reviewed at Central University Research
- 16 Ethics Committee meetings.
- 17 The online research ethics application form contains filters which alert the central
- 18 ethics team to research which may pose more than minimal risk. These applications
- are then screened by the Chair of a Central University Research Ethics Committee
- 20 to determine the appropriate review route.

## Key points

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- If the research may involve 'more than minimal ethical risk', then applicants should plan the timelines for the research accordingly, taking into account the Central University Research Ethics Committee dates.
- 'Minimal risk' does not imply lower standards of ethical review only that the applications so determined can often be reviewed by fewer people than a full committee, and therefore be reviewed without having to wait for a forthcoming Committee meeting. The fact that the research is perceived to involve minimal risk should not be used as an excuse for a poor quality research ethics application.

## Research potentially requiring a central ethics review

- The following section lists examples that constitute more than minimal risk to participants in research. Research involving 'more than minimal risk' could include:
- Potentially *vulnerable people*, for example: children and young people; those with a learning disability or cognitive impairment; individuals where the permission of a gatekeeper is required; potentially vulnerable individuals in a dependent or unequal relationship etc.
- Potentially *sensitive*, *embarrassing*, *or distressing topics*, for example participants' sexual behaviour, illegal or political behaviour, experience of violence, abuse or exploitation, mental health, their personal or family lives, or their gender or ethnic status. Elite interviews may also fall into this category.
- 42 Research involving *human material and invasive interventions*
- Deception, covert observation, or research conducted without participants'
  valid and informed consent.

University of Liverpool: Note on research involving more than minimal risk	
Version 1 (November 2022)	ethics@liverpool.ac.uk

- Access to records of personal or sensitive confidential information, or when
  working with sensitive administrative or controlled data.
- Intrusive interventions or data collection methods, for example the
  administration of substances; physical exercise, asking participants to wear
  devices.
- Potential harms for the participants, such as *pain*, *psychological stress*,
  *anxiety or distress*.
- Risk to the safety of the researcher, for example researchers working in the field and international research assistants working outside the UK in their own community.
- Social media and participants recruited or identified through the internet, in particular when the understanding of privacy in these settings is
  contentious where sensitive issues are discussed for example in 'closed' discussion groups, where there is potential for quotes to be identifiable, where visual images are used etc.
- Discussion of *topics which raises considerations over whether there will be*a duty to disclose the information provided during the research.

## 62 Examples of studies that involve minimal risk

- Surveys of the general population involving non-sensitive subjects
- Interviews involving professionals discussing their area of expertise
- Secondary analysis of data gathered through the internet where there are no
  issues of consent, identifiability or privacy