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## In this talk I will:

1. Briefly discuss the reasons for exploring successful spoken English.
2. Briefly outline the corpus data used and its design.
3. Explore what the data can tell us about word frequency and lexical chunks.
4. Outline some possible implications arising from the data.

## Why successful spoken English?

1. The native speaker is not always a realistic model for learners.
2. Important to look at what learners can do, as well as the errors they make.
3. It can help us to implement the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) (Council of Europe, 2001).

## Linguistic competence

...the ability to use lexis, grammar, lexico-grammar and phonology, effectively.
One important element of communicative competence (Hymes, 1972)
Alongside and in combination with:
Discourse competence
Pragmatic competence
Strategic competence Jones, C., Byrne, S., \& Halenko, N. (2017) based on Hymes(1972), Canale \& Swain (1980), Canale (1983), Bachman \& Palmer (1996).

## Corpus data used

Successful learner exams from B1-C1 levels plus native speakers
Speaking Test Corpus (USTC)
Interactive, paired interviews, lasting approximately 12 minutes
Part A : Introductory questions (Examiner \& candidate) Part B: Paired discussion task (Candidate \& candidate) Part C: Follow up discussion (Examiner \& candidate \& candidate)
All exams independently rated 0-5 with only those scoring 3.5 or 4 included

| Total word count including examiner | 91, 173 tokens |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total number of exams used | 57 |
| Total number of speakers | 121 ( 60 males ( $49.6 \%$ ), 61 females ( $50.4 \%$ ) Native speaker $=14$ (4 males, 10 females) |
| Average age | 23 years |
| Average time spent learning English | 8 years |
| Average time in UK | 14 months |
| Nationalities represented | Chinese $=50$; British $=14$; Saudi $=13$; Japanese $=11$; Qatar $=6$; Republic of Korea $=4$; Nigerian $=4$; Unanswered $=$ 3;United Arab Emirates = 3; Iraqi $=3$; Libyan = 2; Omani $=2$; Egyptian $=2$; Columbian $=2 ;$ Turkish $=1$; Italian $=1$ |

## Findings 1

## Word frequencies

## Findings

Exam Level

Freq. Band
Cumul. Token \%

B1

B2

K-2
92.81

K-1

K-2

K-1

K-1

K-2
92.86
96.29
97.25
91.64
96.62

## Tokens and types from B1- C1

| Level | Freq. | Types |  |  | Tokens |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| band | Freq. | Mean | SD | Freq. | Mean | SD |  |
| B1 | K-1 | 878 | 197.59 | 28.75 | 14762 | 868.12 | 191.63 |
|  | K-2 | 219 | 19.24 | 6.87 | 553 | 32.53 | 14.35 |
| B2 | K-1 | 1011 | 226.00 | 31.69 | 18706 | 1100.24 | 286.69 |
|  | K-2 | 267 | 26.00 | 6.13 | 884 | 52.00 | 14.93 |
| C1 | K-1 | 1136 | 252.12 | 41.00 | 20303 | 1194.18 | 316.98 |

## Example

K1 and K2 words at B1
<\$19M> Er actually the most popular sport in my country is football er I I like football and er l've found national team. Er actually national team played yesterday last night and er er losed the cup silver cup. I'm sad today but er the the you know the football it's a game I think it's help the_politics to keep the people of the country happy to keep the people in the country you know er fans watch the TV <\$=> it's </\$=> and also the people er happy when they when they watch er the the foot= the football match.

## Observations

1. The first two thousand words are crucial for successful speech at B1-C1 levels.
2. An increased use of very frequent vocabulary including an increase in types marks out learner progress across levels.

See Leech, Rayson and Wilson (2001) for BNC wordlists

## What are the most frequent words used by successful learners?

|  | B1 | Freq | B2 | Freq | C1 | Freq |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Er | 1391 | Er | 1312 | The | 1147 |
| 2 | I | 1126 | I | 926 | I | 807 |
| 3 | The | 688 | The | 827 | Er | 770 |
| 4 | And | 523 | And | 591 | And | 665 |
| 5 | To | 461 | To | 585 | To | 640 |
| 6 | Erm | 320 | You | 518 | Erm | 460 |
| 7 | In | 296 | Yeah | 380 | Yeah | 442 |
| 8 | Is | 288 | In | 376 | Is | 417 |
| 9 | So | 288 | A | 325 | In | 414 |
| 10 | A | 269 | Is | 316 | You | 376 |

## What are the most frequent words used by successful learners?

|  | B1 | Freq | B2 | Freq | C1 | Freq |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | You | 252 | Erm | 308 | A | 350 |
| 12 | Yeah | 250 | Like | 304 | Like | 348 |
| 13 | My | 238 | Think | 276 | Think | 342 |
| 14 | Think | 237 | My | 252 | Of | 312 |
| 15 | Like | 222 | So | 252 | So | 295 |
| 16 | Because | 188 | But | 233 | They | 285 |
| 17 | Of | 161 | Have | 229 | It's | 276 |
| 18 | It's | 152 | They | 226 | It | 254 |
| 19 | Can | 150 | Of | 222 | We | 221 |
| 20 | We | 149 | We | 212 | Because | 196 |

What are the most frequent words used by native speakers?

| 1 I | 374 | 11 Of | 134 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 And | 249 | 12 That | 128 |
| 3 The | 249 | 13 Think | 127 |
| 4 Like | 214 | 14 It's | 100 |
| 5 To | 197 | 15 In | 97 |
| 6 You | 184 | 16 So | 79 |
| 7 A | 172 | 17 Just | 75 |
| 8 Yeah | 171 | 18 If | 74 |
| 9 It | 166 | 19 On | 71 |
| 10 Erm | 134 | 20 But | 69 |

## Example

The use of yeah with 'we can' to develop points across speaker turns at C1 <\$35F> <\$O76> Mhm we can bring them </\$O76> And normally they have hairdryers so + <\$34F> Yes.
<\$35F> or laundry er machines so yeah it's alright.
<\$34F> Yeah if there is amenities i= it's fine +
<\$35F> Yeah.
$<\$ 34 F>+$ and it's good for me +
<\$35F> Yeah.
$<\$ 34 F>+$ but yeah we can bring it.

## Observations

1. The functions of frequent words change as the levels progress e.g. use of 'yeah', use of 'think' use of 'like'.
2. Focus at B1 is on learners' own turn and increasingly on interaction from B2 level onwards.
3. Several functions unlikely to have been taught e.g. use of 'like’ as discourse marker.
4. Native speakers hedge and soften more e.g. with 'just' and link ideas with less repetition and more synonyms.

## 4-word lexical chunks

Recurring formulaic sequences accessed as a single choice (based on Wray, 2002)

\left.| B1 | B2 | C1 | Freq. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Chunk | Freq. | Chunk |
| I AGREE WITH |  |  |  |$\right)$

## Lexical chunks - 4 word chunks produced by native speakers

| 1 | I DON'T KNOW | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | I THINK IT'S | 13 |
| 3. | I DON'T THINK | 11 |
| 4. | AND THINGS LIKE THAT | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| 5. | I DON'T REALLY | 7 |
| 6. | A LOT OF THE | 6 |
| 7. | I THINK THAT'S | 6 |
| 8. | LOT OF THE TIME | 6 |
| 9. | AND STUFF LIKE THAT | 5 |
| 10. | BUT I DON'T | 5 |

## Observations

1. Frequency and uses of chunks often changed as levels developed e.g. 'I think it's ..'rather than the chunks themselves.
2. When chunks do change across levels, it tends to indicate greater interaction e.g. 'What do you think?' from B2 onwards.
3. Lots of similarity to NS data e.g. use of 'I think it's..', 'a lot of'
4. Differences seem to be in terms of vague language e.g. 'and things like that'.

## Three possible implications for teaching

1. Higher levels could serve as a model of lower level learners e.g. B2 for B1. Frequent language could inform syllabuses.
2. Strong focus on the top 2000 words needed in and out of class. Meaning, uses, collocations, chunks. Chunks which can fulfil a range of functions need to be learnt e.g. 'I think it's...' Rather than many chunks for one function e.g. giving opinions.
3. Focus on the interactive nature of spoken language and on 'turn grammar' (Clancy and McCarthy, 2014) as indicated by uses of frequent language.

## Questions?
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