On the estimation of density and expected occupation density of Itô processes with irregular drift and diffusion coefficients

Paul Eisenberg[†] Shijie Xu[‡]

[†] WU Wien [‡]University of Liverpool *Shijiexu@liverpool.ac.uk*

June 16, 2023

Overview

1 Prelude

2 Explicit local density bounds for Itô-processes with irregular drift Introduction DRBM Main result Conclusion of more general cases

A sharp upper bound for the expected occupation density of Itô processes with bounded irregular drift and diffusion coefficients Introduction

 Occupation density
 Our result
 Our approach
 Main steps
 Literature review

4 Referencing

$$dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t, X_0 = x_0$$

$$dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t, X_0 = x_0$$

Existence

$$dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t, X_0 = x_0$$

- Existence
- Regularity

$$dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t, X_0 = x_0$$

- Existence
- Regularity
- Estimation

- Existence:
 - Malliavin calculus: Malliavin [Mal78]

• Existence:

- Malliavin calculus: Malliavin [Mal78]
- Stochastic calculus of variation: Bouleau & Hirsch [BH86]

• Existence:

- Malliavin calculus: Malliavin [Mal78]
- Stochastic calculus of variation: Bouleau & Hirsch [BH86]
- Regularity:

Hayashi, Kohatsu-Higa and Yûki [HKHY13]

• Existence:

- Malliavin calculus: Malliavin [Mal78]
- Stochastic calculus of variation: Bouleau & Hirsch [BH86]
- Regularity: Hayashi, Kohatsu-Higa and Yûki [HKHY13]
- Estimation:
 - Global bounds & Explicit: Baños & Krühner [BK16a]
 - Local bounds & Sharpest & Implicit: Qian & Xu [ZX18]

Explicit local density bounds for Itô-processes with irregular drift

Paul Eisenberg & Shijie Xu

[†] WU Wien [‡]University of Liverpool *Shijiexu@liverpool.ac.uk*

Paul Eisenberg & Shijie Xu (Liverpool) Explicit local density bounds for Itô-process

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Let X be a d-dimensional Itô-process such that

$$X(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t eta(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s), \quad t \ge 0$$

where W is an *n*-dimensional standard Brownian motion and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

< A ▶

Let X be a d-dimensional Itô-process such that

$$X(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t eta(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s), \quad t \ge 0$$

where W is an *n*-dimensional standard Brownian motion and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition

We say that the *X* has bounded drift while *X* is in some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ if there is a constant C > 0 such that

 $||\beta(t)||\mathbf{1}_{\{X(t)\in U\}} \leq C$

for any $t \ge 0$, *P*-a.s.

Let X be a d-dimensional Itô-process such that

$$X(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t eta(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s), \quad t \ge 0$$

where W is an *n*-dimensional standard Brownian motion and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition

We say that the *X* has bounded drift while *X* is in some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ if there is a constant C > 0 such that

 $||\beta(t)||\mathbf{1}_{\{X(t)\in U\}} \leq C$

for any $t \ge 0$, *P*-a.s. We say that *X* has *locally bounded drift* if *X* has bounded drift on any bounded open set.

Let X be a d-dimensional Itô-process such that

$$X(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t eta(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s), \quad t \ge 0$$

where W is an *n*-dimensional standard Brownian motion and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition

We say that the *X* has bounded drift while *X* is in some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ if there is a constant C > 0 such that

 $||\beta(t)||\mathbf{1}_{\{X(t)\in U\}} \leq C$

for any $t \ge 0$, *P*-a.s. We say that *X* has *locally bounded drift* if *X* has bounded drift on any bounded open set. We say that *X* has *non-degenerate diffusion coefficient* if $\sigma(t)\sigma^{\top}(t)$ is positive definite for any $t \ge 0$. **Q**: Roughly thinking (which means regardless of existence and other technical details for a moment), can we imagine the optimal (or ideal, best, extreme) situation that maximizes the "density" $(\rho_t(x, y), y \in U)$?

< 同 > < ∃ >

Q: Roughly thinking (which means regardless of existence and other technical details for a moment), can we imagine the optimal (or ideal, best, extreme) situation that maximizes the "density" ($\rho_t(x, y), y \in U$)? **A**: Sort of yes!

< (目) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) <

Definition (DRBM)

A stochastic process Z with continuous sample paths is a doubly reflected Brownian motion with drift $b \in \mathbb{R}$ on a compact interval Jif for any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with f'(x) = 0 for any boundary point $x \in J$ we have

$$M^f(t):=f(Z(t))-\int_0^t\left(rac{1}{2}f''(Z(s))+bf'(Z(s))
ight)ds,\quad t\geq 0.$$

is a martingale.

< D > < P > < P > < P > < P >

Definition (DRBM)

A stochastic process Z with continuous sample paths is a doubly reflected Brownian motion with drift $b \in \mathbb{R}$ on a compact interval Jif for any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with f'(x) = 0 for any boundary point $x \in J$ we have

$$M^f(t):=f(Z(t))-\int_0^t\left(rac{1}{2}f''(Z(s))+bf'(Z(s))
ight)ds,\quad t\geq 0.$$

is a martingale.

Let's start from the simple but intuitive 1-dim case!

Image: A (1) → A (

Definition (DRBM)

A stochastic process Z with continuous sample paths is a doubly reflected Brownian motion with drift $b \in \mathbb{R}$ on a compact interval Jif for any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with f'(x) = 0 for any boundary point $x \in J$ we have

$$M^f(t):=f(Z(t))-\int_0^t\left(rac{1}{2}f''(Z(s))+bf'(Z(s))
ight)ds,\quad t\geq 0.$$

is a martingale.

Let's start from the simple but intuitive 1-dim case!

- DRBM *Z* on [0, *I*], 0 ≤ *I*
- with drift –*C*
- and with a simple $\sigma_t = 1$ for a moment

< D > < P > < P > < P > < P >

Theorem (Comparison)

Let β be a progressively measurable \mathbb{R} -valued process with $||\beta(t)|| \leq C$ for any $t \geq 0$. Let $0 \leq z_0 \leq x_0$ and

$$X(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t \beta(s) ds + W(t) + R_X(t),$$

$$Z(t) := z_0 - Ct + W(t) + R_Z(t) - A(t),$$

where *A* is any continuous increasing progressively measurable process with A(0) = 0 and R_X resp. R_Z are the respective upward reflection terms at zero for *X* resp. *Z*, i.e.

$$\begin{split} R_X(t) &:= \sup \left\{ \max\{0, -(x_0 + \int_0^u \beta(s) ds + W(u))\} : u \in [0, t] \right\} \\ R_Z(t) &:= \sup \left\{ \max\{0, -(z_0 - Cu + W(u) - A(u))\} : u \in [0, t] \right\}, \end{split}$$

then $Z(t) \leq X(t)$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Inspecting path by path...

æ

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Inspecting path by path...

• The comparison theorem compares the absolute value between the general case and the DRBM case.

• • • • • • • •

-

Inspecting path by path...

• The comparison theorem compares the absolute value between the general case and the DRBM case.

• A good news!

The theorem above tells us DRBM concentrates the density most. We can use DRBM to estimate the upper bound!

< 1 →

Inspecting path by path...

- The comparison theorem compares the absolute value between the general case and the DRBM case.
- A good news!

The theorem above tells us DRBM concentrates the density most. We can use DRBM to estimate the upper bound!

< □ > < A >

- Two possible direction to make it more general:
 - Higher dimensional

Inspecting path by path...

- The comparison theorem compares the absolute value between the general case and the DRBM case.
- A good news!

The theorem above tells us DRBM concentrates the density most. We can use DRBM to estimate the upper bound!

< □ > < A >

- Two possible direction to make it more general:
 - Higher dimensional
 - More flexible σ

Lemma (d-dim)

Let *X* be a *d*-dimensional Itô-process with diffusion coefficient constant equal to the identity matrix. Assume that the drift of *X* is bounded while *X* is in the set $B_{l,\infty}(x)$ where l > 0, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we denote the corresponding constant by $C \ge 0$. Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_d be independent doubly reflected Brownian motions with drift (-C) on [0, I]. Assume that $|Y_j(0)| \le |X_j(0) - x_j|$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, d$. Then

 $P(||X(t) - x|| \le a) \le P(||Y(t)|| \le a), \quad a \in (0, I].$

< D > < P > < P > < P > < P >

The following result is adopted from [Vee04, p. 193, formula (13)].

DRBM

Let *p* be the transition density of a doubly reflected Brownian motion. Then *p* is continuous in all its arguments and $p_{l,t}(x,0) \le p_{l,t}(0,0)$. In particular, for all $x \in [0, I]$ and t > 0 we have

$$p_{l,t}(x,0) = \frac{2C}{1 - \exp(-2Cl)} + e^{Cx - C^2 t/2} \frac{2}{l} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[f_{t,x}(n\pi/l) - g_{t,x}(n\pi/l) \right],$$

where $f_{t,x}(z) := \frac{z^2 \cos(zx)}{C^2 + z^2} \exp(-tz^2/2)$ and $g_{t,x}(z) := \frac{Cz \sin(zx)}{C^2 + z^2} \exp(-tz^2/2)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・

Theorem: Existence

Let *X* be a *d*-dimensional Itô-process with constant, deterministic and non-degenerate diffusion coefficient. Assume that *X* has bounded drift while *X* is in some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Let t > 0. Then

$$\rho_t(x) := \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{P(||X(t) - x|| < \epsilon)}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\epsilon,\infty}(0))}, \quad x \in U$$

is locally bounded.

Moreover, ρ_t is a version of the density of X(t) on U, i.e. $P(X(t) \in A) = \int_A \rho_t(x) dx$ for any Borel-set $A \subseteq U$. In particular, if X has locally bounded drift, then X(t) has a locally bounded version of its density.

• • • • • • • •

Corollary: Upper bound

Let *X* be an Itô-process where the diffusion coefficient is constant equal to the identity matrix on \mathbb{R}^d and assume that the drift of *X* is bounded by *C* while *X* is in some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. We define

$$\rho_t(\boldsymbol{x}) := \limsup_{\epsilon \searrow \boldsymbol{0}} \frac{P(||\boldsymbol{X}(t) - \boldsymbol{x}|| < \epsilon)}{\operatorname{vol}(\boldsymbol{B}_{\epsilon,\infty}(\boldsymbol{0}))} \in [\boldsymbol{0},\infty]$$

for t > 0, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $x \in U$ and l > 0 such that $B_{l,\infty}(x) \subseteq U$. Then we have

$$\rho_t(x) \leq \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{C \exp(-2Cl)}{1 - \exp(-2Cl)} + \frac{\phi(z_j)}{\sqrt{t}} + C\Phi(z_j) + e^{Ca_j - C^2 t/2} \frac{(3 + a_j C)^2}{lt C^2} \right)$$

where $a_j := \min\{I, |X_j(0) - x_j|\}$ and $z_j := \sqrt{t}C - a_j/\sqrt{t}$ for j = 1, ..., d.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > .

Pushing / to ∞ , we just reproduce Baños & Krühner [BK16a]'s result:

Baños & Krühner's result

Let *X* be an Itô-process where the diffusion coefficient is constant equal to the identity matrix on \mathbb{R}^d and assume that the drift of *X* is bounded by *C*. We define $\rho_t(x) := \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{P(|X(t)-x| < \epsilon)}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\epsilon}(0))} \in [0, \infty]$ for $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

$$ho_t(x) \leq \prod_{j=1}^d \left(rac{\phi(z_j)}{\sqrt{t}} + C \Phi(z_j)
ight) \leq \left(rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} + C
ight)^d$$

where $(z_j)_{j \le d}$ is given by $z_j := \sqrt{t}C - |X_j(0) - x_j|/\sqrt{t}$. In particular, if d = 1, then we have

$$\rho_t(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{\phi(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\sqrt{t}} + C\Phi(\mathbf{z}_1).$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

We generalize our result as follows:

if	σ constant	σ Locally Lipschitz
<i>d</i> = 1	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>d</i> ≥ 1	\checkmark	

A sharp upper bound for the expected occupation density of Itô processes with bounded irregular drift and diffusion coefficients

[†]Paul Eisenberg, *Julia Eisenberg, *Stefan Ankirchner & [‡]Shijie Xu

[†] WU Wien *TU Wien *University of Bonn [‡]University of Liverpool *Shijiexu@liverpool.ac.uk*

 † Paul Eisenberg, *Julia Eisenberg, *Stefan /A sharp upper bound for the expected occu

Occupation density

Occupation densities have been surveyed by D. Geman and J. Horowitz [GH80]. Let $X(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. The occupation measure of X up to time $T \ge 0$ is

$$\mu_{T}(\Gamma) = m\{0 \leq s \leq T : X_{s} \in \Gamma\},\$$

m being Lebesgue measure and Γ a Borel set on \mathbb{R} . It is the amount of time spent by *X* in the set Γ during [0, T]. And we say that *X* has an occupation density on [0, T] if $\mu_T(\Gamma)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In other words, $\mu_T(\Gamma)$ could be expressed as the sum of times spent by *X* at each $y \in \Gamma$ during [0, T] in the following sense

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}}(\Gamma) = \int_{\mathbf{y}\in\Gamma} \alpha_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

where $\alpha_T(y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. We then call $\alpha_T(y)$ an occupation density.

We want to investigate an optimal control problem for some class of Itô process.

< 1 →

We want to investigate an optimal control problem for some class of Itô process.

We define A to be the set of progressively measurable process (β, σ) such that for any $t \ge 0$, $\sigma_t \in [a, b]$, $0 < a \le b$ and $|\beta_t| \le k\sigma_t^2$, for some $k \ge 0$. Note that when k = 0, Itô processes reduce to Brownian martingales with bounded diffusion coefficient away from 0.

We want to investigate an optimal control problem for some class of Itô process.

We define \mathcal{A} to be the set of progressively measurable process (β, σ) such that for any $t \ge 0$, $\sigma_t \in [a, b]$, $0 < a \le b$ and $|\beta_t| \le k\sigma_t^2$, for some $k \ge 0$. Note that when k = 0, Itô processes reduce to Brownian martingales with bounded diffusion coefficient away from 0.

Let C be the class of stochastic process X such that there is $(\beta, \sigma) \in A$ with $dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$, where W_t is standard Brownian motion and the starting point X_0 is deterministic.

We want to investigate an optimal control problem for some class of Itô process.

We define \mathcal{A} to be the set of progressively measurable process (β, σ) such that for any $t \ge 0$, $\sigma_t \in [a, b]$, $0 < a \le b$ and $|\beta_t| \le k\sigma_t^2$, for some $k \ge 0$. Note that when k = 0, Itô processes reduce to Brownian martingales with bounded diffusion coefficient away from 0.

Let C be the class of stochastic process X such that there is $(\beta, \sigma) \in A$ with $dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$, where W_t is standard Brownian motion and the starting point X_0 is deterministic. We are interested in the quantity of the supremum expected occupation density at a given time $T \ge 0$ over all possible Itô processes $X \in C$:

$$G(x, y, T) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}, X_0 = x} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_T(y)]$$

$$G(x, y, T) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}, X_0 = x} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_T(y)]$$

where $x = X_0$ is the starting point of X and the level set is a singleton $\Gamma = \{y\}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$G(x, y, T) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}, X_0 = x} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_T(y)]$$

where $x = X_0$ is the starting point of X and the level set is a singleton $\Gamma = \{y\}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

However, this is a priori meaningless since the existence and uniqueness of the occupation density is ambiguous.

$$G(x, y, T) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}, X_0 = x} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_T(y)]$$

where $x = X_0$ is the starting point of X and the level set is a singleton $\Gamma = \{y\}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

However, this is a priori meaningless since the existence and uniqueness of the occupation density is ambiguous.

Instead of using the implicit definition above, we use the following "approximation" version of definition:

$$G(x, y, T) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}, X_0 = x} \left(\limsup_{N \to \infty} 2N \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ |X_s - y| \le \frac{1}{N} \right\}} ds \right] \right).$$
(1)

 † Paul Eisenberg, *Julia Eisenberg, *Stefan /A sharp upper bound for the expected occu

Theorem [Main Result]

Let $(\beta, \sigma) \in A$ and $X_t = x + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s$, $t \ge 0$, where W is a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion, G is defined as above in (1). Then

$$G(x, y, T) = \int_0^T \left(\frac{b}{a^2\sqrt{t}}\phi(v(r, t)) + \frac{b^2k}{a^2}\Phi(v(r, t))\right) dt, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, T > 0$$

where $r := |x - y|$ and $v(r, t) := kb\sqrt{t} - \frac{r}{b\sqrt{t}}, t \ge 0, \phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$
and $\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We prove the main theorem above by studying the exponential stopped time case. Artificially given some special control, we compute an explicit and sharp solution of the associated Heath-Jarrow-Bellman (HJB) equation. We prove the main theorem above by studying the exponential stopped time case. Artificially given some special control, we compute an explicit and sharp solution of the associated Heath-Jarrow-Bellman (HJB) equation.

Although the control will not lead to the upper bound we got, we prove that the upper bound is optimal via a verification method. However, we found that the "optimal control" is outside our feasible set. In other words, we can always find a better control in the class C to get a better occupation density and limiting case is not Markovian anymore.

$$(\beta_s, \sigma_s) := \begin{cases} (-kb^2, b) & \text{if } X_s > y \\ (0, a) & \text{if } X_s = y \\ (kb^2, b) & \text{if } X_s < y \end{cases}$$

 † Paul Eisenberg, *Julia Eisenberg, *Stefan /A sharp upper bound for the expected occu

< ロ > < 部 > < き > < き >

(2)

Exponentially stopped case

To solve the fixed time optimisation problem (1) here, we would like to solve the exponentially distributed time optimisation problem first. More precisely, replacing time T with an independent exponential time with rate $\lambda > 0$.

Exponentially stopped case

To solve the fixed time optimisation problem (1) here, we would like to solve the exponentially distributed time optimisation problem first. More precisely, replacing time T with an independent exponential time with rate $\lambda > 0$. We define the exponentially stopped value function by

$$V_{\lambda}(x,y) := \sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}, X_{0}=x} \limsup_{N\to\infty} 2N\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|X_{t}^{\beta,\sigma}-y\right|\leq\frac{1}{N}\right\}} dt\right], \lambda > 0$$
(3)

Exponentially stopped case

To solve the fixed time optimisation problem (1) here, we would like to solve the exponentially distributed time optimisation problem first. More precisely, replacing time T with an independent exponential time with rate $\lambda > 0$. We define the exponentially stopped value function by

$$V_{\lambda}(x,y) := \sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}, X_{0}=x} \limsup_{N\to\infty} 2N\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|X_{t}^{\beta,\sigma}-y\right|\leq\frac{1}{N}\right\}} dt\right], \lambda > 0$$
(3)

We believe the HJB-equation of the optimal control problem (3) is:

$$-\lambda V_{\lambda}(x,y) + \sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}} \left\{ V_{\lambda}'(x,y)\beta + \frac{1}{2}V_{\lambda}''(x,y)\sigma^{2} \right\} = 0, \quad y \neq x \quad (4)$$
$$\sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \delta_{y}(x) + \frac{1}{2}V_{\lambda}''(x,y)\sigma^{2} \right\} = 0, \quad y = x \quad (5)$$

Lemma

The solution for Equation (4) & (5) provided control as (2) is as follows:

$$Q_{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\left(-k + \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{b^2}}\right)a^2} e^{\left(k - \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{b^2}}\right)|x-y|}, \quad \lambda > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem

For the optimal control problem (3), $Q_{\lambda}(x, y) = V_{\lambda}(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

Proof by verification.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨ

Inverse Laplace transform

$$V_{\lambda}(r) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda T} H_T(r) dT$$

$$\lambda \mathcal{L}[H_{\cdot}(r)](\lambda) = V_{\lambda}(r) = \frac{1}{\left(-k + \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{b^2}}\right)a^2} e^{\left(k - \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{b^2}}\right)|x-y|}$$

Theorem

The inverse Laplace transform of V_{λ} is

$$H_T(r) = \int_0^T \left(\frac{b}{a^2\sqrt{t}}\phi(v(r,t)) + \frac{b^2k}{a^2}\Phi(v(r,t))\right) dt, \quad T > 0, t \ge 0$$

where
$$v(r, t) := kb\sqrt{t} - \frac{r}{b\sqrt{t}}, \phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$
 and
 $\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$

Convexity of *H* on *r*

Lemma

For any r > 0 and $T \ge 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial H_{T}(r)}{\partial r} = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{a^{2}\sqrt{2\pi t^{3}}} e^{-\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2b^{2}t}} - k\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}t}{2}}\right)^{2}} \left(-\frac{r}{b}\right) dt$$
(6)
$$\frac{\partial^{2}H_{T}(r)}{\partial r^{2}} = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{a^{2}b\sqrt{2\pi t^{3}}} e^{-\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2b^{2}t}} - k\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}t}{2}}\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{r^{2}}{b^{2}t} - kr - 1\right) dt$$
(7)
$$\frac{\partial H_{T}(r)}{\partial r} \leq 0$$
$$0 \leq \frac{\partial^{2}H_{T}(r)}{\partial r^{2}}$$

and we also have for any r > 0 and T > 0

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\partial H_{\mathcal{T}}(r)}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{a^2}.$$
 (8)

 † Paul Eisenberg, * Julia Eisenberg, * Stefan /A sharp upper bound for the expected occu

HJB of the original optimal control problem

We believe the HJB-equation of the original optimal control problem (1):

$$-\frac{\partial G(x,t)}{\partial t} + \sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \frac{\partial G(x,t)}{\partial x} \beta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \sigma^2 \right\} = 0, \quad x \neq y \quad (9)$$

$$\sup_{(\beta,\sigma)\in\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \delta_y(x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \sigma^2 \right\} = 0, \quad y = x \quad (10)$$

$$G(x,0) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (11)$$

Lemma

H solves the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (9), (10) and (11) provided control as (2).

< 口 > < 何 >

Proof by verification

Theorem: Main

For the optimal control problem (1), $H_T(x - y) = G(x, y, T)$ for any $T \ge 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hint of proof.

Fix $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and we construct a control via choosing

$$\sigma_M(x) := a + (b - a)g_M(|x|)$$

where $g_M \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, [0, 1])$ such that

$$g_M(x) = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \quad x \in [0, rac{1}{M}], \ 1 & ext{if} \quad x \in [rac{2}{M}, \infty], \end{cases}$$

The control is now specified as:

$$\beta_t := -k\sigma_M^2(X_t) \operatorname{sign}(X_t) dt, \quad \sigma_t := \sigma_M(X_t), \quad t \ge 0.$$

J. McNamara [McN83] gave an estimation for Brownian martingale with unbounded level set.

- J. McNamara [McN83] gave an estimation for Brownian martingale with unbounded level set.
- S. Ankirchner, C. Blanchet-Scalliet and M. Jeanblanc [ABSJ17] studied the exponential Brownian case.

- J. McNamara [McN83] gave an estimation for Brownian martingale with unbounded level set.
- S. Ankirchner, C. Blanchet-Scalliet and M. Jeanblanc [ABSJ17] studied the exponential Brownian case.
- S. Ankirchner and J. Wendt [AW21] provided an upper bound for the expected occupation time in an interval for Brownian motion with bounded and non-zero diffusion coefficient.

- J. McNamara [McN83] gave an estimation for Brownian martingale with unbounded level set.
- S. Ankirchner, C. Blanchet-Scalliet and M. Jeanblanc [ABSJ17] studied the exponential Brownian case.
- S. Ankirchner and J. Wendt [AW21] provided an upper bound for the expected occupation time in an interval for Brownian motion with bounded and non-zero diffusion coefficient.
- J. Eisenberg and P. Eisenberg [EK] provided deterministic explicit upper bounds for the expected (discounted) occupation of a process whose drift is in a bounded interval. Their paper also reveals how the result can be applied in dividend payments.

- J. McNamara [McN83] gave an estimation for Brownian martingale with unbounded level set.
- S. Ankirchner, C. Blanchet-Scalliet and M. Jeanblanc [ABSJ17] studied the exponential Brownian case.
- S. Ankirchner and J. Wendt [AW21] provided an upper bound for the expected occupation time in an interval for Brownian motion with bounded and non-zero diffusion coefficient.
- J. Eisenberg and P. Eisenberg [EK] provided deterministic explicit upper bounds for the expected (discounted) occupation of a process whose drift is in a bounded interval. Their paper also reveals how the result can be applied in dividend payments. Similar techniche has been used in D. Banos and P. Kruhner [BK16b], see Lemma 3.4 and Propsition 3.5.

Stefan Ankirchner, Christophette Blanchet-Scalliet, and Monique Jeanblanc.Controlling the occupation time of an exponential martingale.*Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 76:415–428, 2017.

- Stefan Ankirchner and Julian Wendt. A sharp upper bound for the expected interval occupation time of brownian martingales. 2021.
 - N. Bouleau and F. Hirsch.

Propriétés d'absolue continuité dans les espaces de Dirichlet et applications aux équations différentielles stochastiques. In *Seminaire de Probabilités XX*, volume 1204 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 131–161. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

- 同 ▶ - < 三 ▶

References II

D. Baños and P. Krühner. Optimal density bounds for marginals of Itô processes. Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 10(2):1, 2016.

David R. Banos and Paul Kruhner.

Hölder continuous densities of solutions of sdes with measurable and path dependent drift coefficients. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 127:1785–1799, 2016.

- Julia Eisenberg and Paul Krühner. Measuring the suboptimality of dividend controls in a brownian risk model.
- Donald Geman and Joseph Horowitz. Occupation densities.

The Annals of Probability, pages 1–67, 1980.

M. Hayashi, A. Kohatsu-Higa, and G. Yûki.

Local Hölder continuity property of the densities of solutions of SDEs with singular coefficients.

Journal of theoretical probability, 26(4):1117–1134, 2013.

P. Malliavin.

Stochastic calculus of variations and hypoelliptic operators. In Proc. Internat. Symposium on Stochastic Differential Equations, Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1976. Wiley, 1978.

JM McNamara.

Optimal control of the diffusion coefficient of a simple diffusion process.

Mathematics of Operations Research, 8(3):373–380, 1983.

D. Veestraeten.

The conditional probability density function for a reflected Brownian motion.

Computational Economics, 24:185–207, 2004.

Q. Zhongmin and X. Xingcheng.

Optimal probabilities and controls for reflecting diffusion processes.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04734, 2018.

Thanks for your attention! Any questions?

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト