

The CJEU's Pricing Case Law: The changing role of evidence in the proportionality analysis

TAXATION AND OTHER ECONOMIC INCENTIVES AS HEALTH-PROMOTING TOOLS: 17 JANUARY 2017 OLLIE BARTLETT, SCHOOL OF LAW AND SOCIAL JUSTICE, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL (@OLIVERJBARTLETT)

Proportionality previously...

- ► Had focused on whether MS had exceeded margin of discretion
- ▶ Limited direct review of evidence
- CJEU adjudicates general principles, Member States review and satisfy
 CJEU on evidence



Proportionality in recent pricing case law...

- ► CJEU begins to review evidence directly as part of proportionality analysis
- Takes responsibility for interpreting fact in addition to law



Shifting institutional responsibility

- By increasing their scrutiny of evidence, CJEU has altered balance of institutional responsibility.
- Court takes more over role in policymaking process than ever before
- Efficacy of public health policymaking process...
- Democratic legitimacy...
- Legal reasoning...



A cautious (pessimistic?!) view of the consequences...

- The CJEU is a court, constrained by the free movement of goods legal framework.
- ► The CJEU may factor factual inaccuracies into legal proportionality analysis, may end up applying EU law rather than advising on its application.
- Policy experimentation stifled, Member States unable to meet WHO level commitments...?

