

Why are there such large differences in boys' and girls' rates of emotional and behavioural disorders – and why does it matter?

Barbara Maughan

Sex differences in stress-related disorders across the lifespan



Sex/gender differences in rates of psychiatric disorders

Male preponderance 1.5:1 - 5:1		Female preponderance 1.5:1 - 3:1	
Autism spectrum disorders ADHD Dyslexia Tourette syndrome Early onset conduct problems ODD Developmental language disorders		Depression Eating disorders Anxiety	
Early onset Neurodevelopmental impairments		Adolescent onset	
Also some sex/gender differences in developmental course recurrence comorbidities long-term outcomes			

Questions

- real differences, that might hold important clues for aetiology?
- partly reflect differing expectations/biases re behaviour of boys and girls?
- some children with serious problems going unrecognized and untreated?

Across disorders, concerns re whether

- diagnostic criteria are equally valid for males and females
- behavioural definitions might be biased towards features more characteristic of one sex
- parents/teachers 'interpret' some behaviours differently in boys and girls
- the sexes differ in willingness to report some types of symptoms

And as a by-product

- impact on research samples (and findings...) in some areas
 - ASD: brain imaging studies: ~8:1 males : females

Why *might* rates of disorders vary so markedly between boys and girls?

- same/different risk factors for girls and boys?
- one sex more exposed or more vulnerable to those risks?
- one sex protected/buffered in some ways?
- might same factor work in different ways in boys and girls?

Why *might* rates of disorders vary so markedly between boys and girls?

Levels of explanation

- Genetic influences: sex chromosomes
- Consequences of being male or female including:
 - slower rate of biological maturation in males
 - increased vulnerability to insults
 - variations in prenatal hormone production: organizational effects on brain development
 - pubertal variations in sex hormone production: activational effects on brain
 - culturally-influenced variations in life-styles
- Proximal risk/protective factors
 - variations in temperament/personality features that predispose to disorder
 - variations in **exposure** to 'risky' environments
 - variations in **susceptibility** to risky environments

Emphasizes

- wide range of processes that might be implicated
 - genetic, epigenetic, hormonal, neuronal, psychological, social/cultural
- need for developmental perspective
- need to begin with influences very early in development

Methodologically

- does factor X vary between males and females?
- does factor X predict outcome?
- does including factor X in analyses affect estimates of gender difference?

Autism spectrum disorder

- male: female ratio 2-5:1
- early onset (age at diagnosis typically later in girls)
- heritability high
- interest in genetic influences
 - male-specific risks via Y-chromosome genes (eg SRY)
 - female-specific protections from increased X-chromosome gene dosage
 - associated epigenetic mechanisms

but

- sex chromosome genes unlikely to account for major proportion of effects
- possible sex differences from more general aetiological models

Multi-factorial multi-threshold vs sex/gender-differential liability models



Lai et al, 2015

Autism spectrum disorder

- pre- and perinatal environmental mechanisms
 - pre-natal androgen exposure
 - pre-natal testosterone predicts cognitive/behavioural characteristics related to autism in typically developing samples
 - maternal immune activation: possible joint effects of hormonal and maternalimmunological factors
- possible social/cultural mechanisms
 - culturally-influenced gender role expectations may impact definition and recognition
 - ? protective effects from increased opportunities for reciprocal social interaction for girls
 - developmentally, social influences on how individuals maintain/modify autism-related characteristics over time

- rates low, and similar/slightly higher in boys pre-puberty
- early adolescence: marked rise in girls: ~2:1 ratios in adolescence and adulthood worldwide
- difference mainly affects first onset
- heritability 30-40%
 - no evidence for female-specific susceptibility genes on X-chromosome
 - GWAS-related findings similar for men and women
 - GxE (individual susceptibility to stress moderated by genetic factors): some evidence for stronger effects in women
- pre-natal influences
 - androgen exposure may contribute to protective effects in males (negative response bias in affective tasks)
 - maternal stress later in pregnancy: increased risk of internalizing symptoms in girls
 - low birthweight (ie sub-optimal intrauterine environment): increased risk of depression in girls post-puberty

Altemus et al, 2014; Kuehner, 2017

Puberty

- dramatic increase in androgens in males, oestrogens in females
- timing of puberty more responsive to adversity (eg poor parent-child relationships, CSA) in girls
- more advanced pubertal stage and early maturation associated with increased risk of depression (and conduct problems) in girls
 - ? direct effect of hormone exposure
 - ? indirect effects via eg hormonally-induced body changes
- sex differences in HPA axis activation start in adolescence
- men typically show larger physiological responses to variety of stressors – including greater HPA axis activation
- oestrogen can exert activating or blunting effects on HPA axis
 - evolutionary hypothesis for attenuated stress response in women: pressure to protect foetus from adverse effects of stress
 - could confer risk for depression

Temperament, personality, coping styles

From early childhood, consistent sex differences in:

- *effortful control* (girls better able to regulate attention and inhibit impulses)
- girls: more *fearful and anxious*
- girls: higher levels traits indicating *interpersonal orientation empathy and prosociality, agreeableness and warmth*

Later in development

- negative affectivity and *neuroticism* similar in childhood, increase more markedly in girls in adolescence (NB: magnitude of gender gap in neuroticism varies between cultures – highest in developed countries)
- self-cognitions: boys: higher *self-esteem* from adolescence
- *body shame and dissatisfaction*: evidence for some mediation of gender difference
- *ruminative response style* predicts depressive symptoms and interacts with stress; gender gap higher in adolescence than childhood or adulthood
- coping styles: under threat, men tend to escape/take action, women express affiliative behaviours and seek support from others (cultural variations in size of gender difference)

Exposure to stress and adversity

- CSA more common in girls
 - increased risks of depression, anxiety, inflammatory illnesses
 - often occurs in context of other childhood adversities
 - women report higher levels exposure to multiple childhood adversities
 - increased risk for re-victimization and adult sexual abuse
- Adolescence
 - girls have greater number of interpersonal stressors, and more susceptible to them, than boys
- Adulthood: gender-specific stressors contribute to depression risk
 - women: interpersonal stress and absence of social support
 - men: work-related, financial, legal problems
- Macro-level factors
 - structural gender equality (eg opportunities for political participation, economic autonomy, reproductive rights) associated with gender ratio in depression

Why *might* rates of disorders vary so markedly between boys and girls?

- same/different risk factors for girls and boys?
- one sex more exposed or more vulnerable to those risks?
- one sex protected/buffered in some ways?

Why does it matter...?

- because it is one of the most widely observed and consistently reported findings in our field
- because it challenges us to think hard about
 - diagnostic assessment criteria and methods
 - diagnostic decision-making
 - aetiological factors
 - how best to design appropriate treatments/interventions
 - how best to design research studies