## Longitudinal beam profile monitor at CTF3 based on Coherent Diffraction Radiation

Maximilian Micheler<sup>1</sup>, R. Ainsworth<sup>1</sup>, G.A. Blair<sup>1</sup>, G. Boorman<sup>1</sup>, R. Corsini<sup>2</sup>, P. Karataev<sup>1</sup>, T. Lefevre<sup>2</sup>, K. Lekomtsev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> John Adams Institute at Royal Holloway, Egham Hill, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup> European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Genève 23, Switzerland

> 10 September 2009 RREPS '09, Zvenigorod, Russia



- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- **5** Conclusion & Outlook

- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- 5 Conclusion & Outlook

## What is CLIC?

Brief overview and description of CLIC

#### DRIVE BEAM QUAD QUAD POWER EXTRACTION STRUCTURE RF power 🖌 ACCELERATING 30 GHz STRUCTURES MAIN BEAM BPM 352 klystrons CLIC 3 TeV 40 MW. 94 us 21 m drive hearn appelerator 2.37 GeV, 997 MHz 84 m combiner 334 r decelerator, 21 sectors of 669 m BDS 6DS e main linac, 30 GHz, 150 MV/m, 14 km IP1.8 IP2 e' main linar 33.6 km train combination $\Delta_p$ 16 cm $\rightarrow$ 8cm booster linac, 9 GeV. 3.75 GHz er injector er injector, 360m

#### Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)

- Study of future e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>-collider based on room temperature acceleration scheme
- Coupled RF cavities transfer the power from a low energy, high current drive beam to a high energy, low current probe beam (i.e. a 30 km long "klystron").
- Would potentially allow for higher accelerating gradient and proposed Centre-of-Mass energy of 3 – 5 TeV.

## What is CTF3?

Brief description of CTF3 and its purpose

#### CLIC Test Facility 3

- Test accelerator at CERN to demonstrate the feasibility of the CLIC concept
- Test PETS (Power and Extraction Structures) at the nominal gradient and pulse length (100 MV/m for 70 ns)
- Generation of high charge, high frequency electron bunch trains by beam combination in a ring using transverse deflectors
- Diagnostics tools needed for CLIC  $\Rightarrow$  Coherent Diffraction Radiation



- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- 5 Conclusion & Outlook

## **Coherent Radiation**

Coherent Radiation can be used to obtain the longitudinal bunch profile

#### **Coherent Radiation**

 In particle accelerators, this is mostly Coherent Synchrotron Radiation (CSR), Coherent Transition Radiation (CTR) and Coherent Diffraction Radiation (CDR)

 $S(\omega) = [N_e + N_e (N_e - 1) F(\omega)] S_e(\omega)$ 

- $N_e S_e(\omega)$  is the incoherent part
- $N_e(N_e 1)F(\omega)S_e(\omega)$  is the coherent part
- **\square**  $S(\omega)$  is the signal , known from the experiment
  - This can be obtained by using an interferometer
- $\blacksquare$   $S_e(\omega)$  is the single electron radiation , which should be predictable form theory
- **\blacksquare**  $N_e$  is the number of electrons , known from the experiment
  - Can be measured using the charge reading of a beam position monitor
- $\blacksquare$   $F(\omega)$  is the longitudinal bunch form factor , which is the measurement purpose.
  - The bunch form factor is just the Fourier transform of the spatial charge distribution if the transverse size is smaller than  $\frac{\gamma\lambda}{2\pi}$  (which is the case for CDR setup at CTF3).
  - The longitudinal bunch profile can therefore be reconstructed
  - Phase information can be obtained by Kramers-Kronig reconstruction analysis

## Diffraction radiation theory

#### Scattering of pseudo-photons

- Electromagnetic field of the moving charged particle considered as pseudo photons
- The DR field (at some distance from the target) is a superposition of the real photons created on the target surface

$$E_{x,y}^{l} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint E_{x,y}^{i}\left(x_s, y_s\right) \frac{e^{i\varphi}}{r} dy_s dx_s \tag{1}$$

• Need to substitute for the amplitude  $E_{x,y}^i$  of every point source:

$$E_{x,y}^{i}(x_{s}, y_{s}) = \frac{iek}{\pi\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi_{s} \\ \sin\psi_{s} \end{pmatrix} K_{1}\left(\frac{k}{\gamma}\rho_{s}\right)$$
(2)

•  $\rho_s = \sqrt{x_s^2 + y_s^2}, x_s = \rho_s \sin \psi_s$ , and  $y_s = \rho_s \cos \psi_s [(x_s, y_s) \iff (\rho_s, \psi_s)]$ 

- $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  is the radiation wave vector,  $\lambda$  is the Backward DR (BDR) wavelength,  $\gamma$  is the charged particle Lorentz-factor,  $K_1$  is the first order McDonald function, and e is the electron charge
- $h = m_e = c = 1$

From a geometrical argument:

$$\frac{e^{i\varphi}}{|\vec{r}|} = \frac{e^{ika}}{a} \exp\left[\frac{ik}{2a}\left(x_s^2 + y_s^2\right) - \frac{ik}{a}\left(x_s\xi + y_s\eta\right) + \frac{ik}{2a}\left(\xi^2 + \eta^2\right)\right]$$
(3)

## Simulation studies

Diffraction radiation simulations

# Diffraction radiation spatial distribution from a semi-halfplate

$$\frac{d^2 W^{DR}}{d\omega d\Omega} = 4\pi^2 k^2 a^2 \left[ \left| E_x^{DR} \right|^2 + \left| E_y^{DR} \right|^2 \right]^2$$
  
where  $E_x^{DR}$  and  $E_y^{DR}$  are the *x*- and *y*-polarisation components of DR.

#### Simulations done for one single half target

Parameters for the setup at CTF3 are used:

- Target dimension  $40(60) \times 40 \, mm$
- Beam energy  $\gamma = 235$
- Distance from target to detector  $a = \sim 2 m$
- $\blacksquare$  Wavelength  $\lambda$  depending on the detector





#### Future target configuration

- Second target will be added in 2010
- Simulations will be carried out to account for the second target

## Simulation studies

Diffraction radiation simulations

Diffraction radiation spectra with  $I_{max}^{TR} = \frac{\alpha\gamma}{4\pi^2}$ 

- Needed in the de-convolution of the spectral information
- $S(\omega) = N_e^2 F(\omega) S_e(\omega)$

## Intensity dependence on impact parameter $(\gamma = 235)$

- At a considerable distance from the beam the signal level is still high
- non-invasive measurements

# Diffraction radiation spectra for different beam energies

- Zero-impact parameter
- For higher beam energies the intensity increases





## Simulation studies

Power estimation of CDR produced



- Bunch separation of 0.33ns and 0.66ns
- For a 2mm Gaussian beam the energy emitted into the detector is  $6.8 \times 10^{-9} J$
- The average power per train is 10.3W and 22.7W for 1.5GHz and 3GHZ operation
- For  $2.5 \times 10^{10}$  electrons per bunch the energy contribution per electron is 1.7eV



- $\blacksquare$  For a 2mm Gaussian beam the energy emitted into the detector is  $3.6\times 10^{-9}\,J$
- The average power per train is 5.5W and 11.0W for 1.5GHz and 3GHZ operation
- $\blacksquare$  For  $2.5\times10^{10}$  electrons per bunch the energy contribution per electron is 0.9eV





## Kramers-Kronig analysis

Kramers-Kronig analysis

#### Kramers-Kronig

The form factor obtained from the experiment gives directly the magnitude of the form factor amplitude  $\rho(\omega)$ :

$$F(\omega) = \widehat{S}(\omega)\widehat{S}^*(\omega) = \rho^2(\omega)$$
(4)

■ The complex form factor can be expressed as:

$$\ln \widehat{S}(\omega) = \ln \rho(\omega) + i\psi(\omega) \tag{5}$$

where  $\rho(\omega)$  is the form factor amplitude and  $\psi(\omega)$  is the phase factor.

**The phase factor**  $\psi(\omega)$  can be obtained using Kramers-Kronig relation:

$$\psi(\omega) = -\frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\ln\left(\rho(x)/\rho(\omega)\right)}{x^2 - \omega^2} \tag{6}$$

The normalized bunch distribution function can be determined as:

$$S(z) = \frac{1}{\pi c} \int_0^\infty d\omega \rho(\omega) \cos\left(\psi(\omega) - \frac{\omega z}{c}\right)$$
(7)

## Kramers-Kronig analysis

Reconstruction of a bunch with a double Gaussian charge distribution



- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- 5 Conclusion & Outlook

## CDR Installation location

The CDR setup is installed in the Combiner Ring Measurement (CRM) line

#### Installation location in CTF3

- Layout of CTF3 with the CRM line (schematic layout at the top)
- Top view of the CRM line with the CDR setup (Device 11) installed (schematic layout at the bottom)
- Locations allows to measure CDR and CSR (CSR: Combiner Ring (CR) dipole on - beam in CR, CDR: dipole off beam in CRM line)
- For CSR insert target completely and use the screen as a mirror



## CDR in the CRM Line

CDR assembly in the CRM line

#### View of the entire CRM line including the CDR setup

- Schematic drawing of the CDR setup (Stage 1) in the CRM line (on the left)
- Picture of the CRM line including the CDR setup (on the right)
  - Vacuum valve to the right of the CDR setup
  - OTR screen behind (to the left of) the setup
- Installation was done in 2 stages:
  - Stage 1: Simply observed the radiation originating from the target
  - Stage 2: Installed the interferometer



## CDR UHV hardware

UHV hardware installed in the CRM line

#### CDR Vacuum hardware

- CDR UHV hardware (on the left):
  - 2 six-way crosses containing the target(s) (2nd six-way cross for the 2nd target in 2010)
  - 4D UHV manipulator to precisely rotate and translate the aluminised silicon target
  - Quartz fused silica UHV window with a viewing diameter of 40 mm through which the radiation is detected





## Interferometer system

The interferometer of the CDR experiment

#### Interferometer

- Installed the interferometer on the optical table earlier this year
- Using a Kapton optical film beam splitter at the moment
- 4" aluminised broadband mirrors
- High precision translation stage(<0.3 µm precision)</li>
- Schottky Barrier Diode detector



## Schottky Barrier Diode detector and DAQ

Schottky Barrier Diode detector used to detect the radiation originating from the target

#### Detector properties

Property	Value		Unit
Detector	DXP08	DXP12	
Frequency range	90 - 140	60 - 90	GHz
Wavelength	2.14 - 3.33	3.33 - 5	mm
Sensitivity (freq. dep.)	1530 - 400	$\sim$ 700	mV/mW
Horn Antenna Gain	22.42 - 23.69	$\sim$ 24	dB
Time response (FWHM)	$\sim$ 250	$\sim$ 250	ps

# Example CDR signal with BPM current reading

- Current over the train is fairly constant
- CDR signal shows some variation
- ⇒ Suggests bunch length changes throughout the train



## Beam splitter

Calculations of the efficiency of Mylar and Kapton optical films

#### Efficiency calculations

$$E = 2R_0T_0 = \frac{2ART^2 \left(1 + A^2 - 2Acos\delta\right)}{\left(1 + A^2R^2 - 2ARcos\delta\right)^2}$$
$$R_s = \left(\frac{\cos\theta_i - n_1\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1}\sin\theta_i\right)^2}}{\cos\theta_i + n_1\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1}\sin\theta_i\right)^2}}\right)^2$$
$$R_p = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1}\sin\theta_i\right)^2} - n_1\cos\theta_i}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1}\sin\theta_i\right)^2} + n_1\cos\theta_i}\right)^2$$
$$A = exp(-Kh/cos\theta_1)$$

Mylar beam splitter (top plots -  $E_s \& E_p$ )

Best compromise between efficiency and linearity  $\Rightarrow 50 \,\mu m$  thick film

Kapton beam splitter (bottom plots -  $E_s \& E_p$ )

Best compromise between efficiency and linearity  $\Rightarrow 50 \ \mu m$  thick film



Maximilian Micheler --- Longitudinal beam profile monitor at CTF3 based on Coherent Diffraction Radiation

- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- 5 Conclusion & Outlook

## DR & SR 2D Distributions

CDR and CSR signal dependences obtained with 2D (translational & rotational) scans

#### CDR signal dependence (horizontal polarization)

- Checked the signal level depending on the target position and orientation
- Good agreement with expectation but some distortion
- Distortion can be explained by background caused upstream (wake-fields, CSR, etc.)

#### CSR signal dependence (horizontal polarization)

- Also good agreement with expectation but some distortion and additional offset
- Distortion can also be explained by background caused upstream
- Offset can be explained by the offset beam in the bending magnet





## Beam based backgrounds

Backgrounds from downstream OTR screen and beam dump detected in the CRM line

#### Background at CDR

- Observed a large background from the OTR screen behind the setup
- High reflecting screen gives higher background (photon yield ∝ reflectivity)
- Low reflecting screen gives a smaller background
- Vacuum window of OTR screen reflects light back towards the CDR setup and reflection of light from our six-way cross
- Possible background from beam dump



## Beam based backgrounds

Backgrounds from downstream OTR screen and beam dump detected in the CRM line

#### Possibility to cut off this background

- Used vertical corrector before the CRM line to lower the position of the beam (by about 8 mm)
- Therefore able to lower the target as well without touching the beam
- Observing a convergence of the signal levels for low impact parameter
- Target starts cutting of the background as it is covering more of the vacuum window

⇒Off-centre adapter flange, i.e. 15 mm offset (currently manufactured at CERN and installed in October)



## First preliminary measurements with the upgraded system

First CSR & CDR measurements taken after the interferometer has been installed



## First preliminary interferometric measurements

First CSR interferometric measurements taken after the interferometer has been installed



- 2 Theory & Simulation
- 3 Setup & Hardware
- 4 Experimental results
- **5** Conclusion & Outlook

## Conclusion & Outlooks

#### Conclusion

- Performed simulation studies for CDR setup at CTF3
- Investigations on Kramers-Kronig bunch length reconstruction method
- Carried out beam splitter efficiency calculations for Mylar and Kapton films to find ideal thickness
- Installed the CDR setup in the CRM line
- Executed 2D translation & rotation scans and confirmed working order
- First interferometric measurements of CSR
- First CSR spectrum obtained

#### Outlook

- CDR interferograms
- Installation of the off-centre flange in October to cut off some of the backgrounds
- Install detectors on translation stage for more flexibility

## Questions ?

Maximilian Micheler micheler@pp.rhul.ac.uk