



Assessment Methods

Assessment Type	Format	Al risks	AI mitigations	Al security rating
MCQ/ SAQ Exam (Unseen, Timed)	Face to Face	No opportunity for plagiarism, collusion or contract cheating. Possibility of copying or impersonation	Identification of students using photo ID, invigilation.	High
MCQ/ SAQ Exam (Unseen, Timed)	Online and Remote Access	Opportunity for cheating (looking up answers), collusion, impersonation	"Locked down" settings applied to devices improves integrity slightly.	Low
			The Moderation tool in Canvas Quiz allows for some exam invigilation (reliant on manual monitoring)	Medium
			Proctoring services such as QuestionMark or Janison recommended for high stakes assessment delivered this way	High (dependent on company reliability)
Open book Exam (Seen, Typically 1-5 day timeframe)	Online Remote Access	Potential for plagiarism, collusion, copying, contract cheating	Question design (i.e. application of knowledge in local case specific context) improves integrity, plus submission of exam scripts through Turnitin	Medium
Open book Exam (Unseen, timed)	Face to Face & Online Remote Access (concerns the same)	Potential for plagiarism, reduced but present opportunity for collusion and copying	Question design (i.e. manipulation/ interpretation of data/ text) improves integrity. Submission of	High





			exam scripts through Turnitin	
Practical Exam (such as OSCE, competency assessment, performance of experiment/ procedure, composition, coding)	Face to Face	Possibility of impersonation; leaking of assessment details if same assessment runs over more than one time period (OSCEs, experiments, procedures, coding)	Identification of students using photo ID. Run practical exams concurrently for all students or have more than one assessment task/scenario/ data set that tests similar skills/ competencies	High
	Online Remote/ Alternative	Virtual OSCEs or other competency assessments are possible for testing many skills (except physical examination)		Unknown
		For performances/ compositions/coding tasks etc. students can record themselves/ screens whilst completing the task (or perform these synchronously for staff as required via Zoom/Teams).		High
		Alternative to large scale, high stakes exams could be move to more continuous, competency based assessment in practice or University settings for tasks that require students physical presence		Medium





Essays/ Journal Articles	Written Assessment	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, potentially dishonest use of data, copying, contract cheating	Essay requirements and frequent changes to essay titles can help to improve integrity (for example apply the theory of X to a situation you have experienced/ reflect on the process of) Submission of exam scripts through Turnitin	Low- Medium
Presentation or Poster Presentation (Group)	Face to Face and Online Remote (concerns the same)	Opportunity for plagiarism, potentially dishonest use of data, contract cheating (poster production) inequality in contribution between team members	Final presentation material submitted through Turnitin after event. Design of marking rubric and weighting of aspects can contribute to improved equality of contribution amongst team	Medium to High
Presentation or Poster Presentation (Individual)	Face to Face and Online Remote (concerns the same)	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, potentially dishonest use of data, contract cheating (poster production)	Final presentation material submitted through Turnitin after event.	High
Blog/ Wiki Posts	Online Remote	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, or contract cheating in assessment.	Integrity can be improved by use of internal University tools for blogging/ wiki posts such as Canvas, plus task requirement i.e. personal/ local focus	Medium
Vlog Posts	Online Remote	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion	Due to less formal format plagiarism unlikely. Require students'	High





			physical/vocal presence in submitted materials. This is a good alternative to written reflective accounts	
Reflective account/log	Written Assessment	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, or contract cheating in assessment.	Submission requirements and Rubric design can enhance integrity, a diary format during a work placement also reduces chance of contract cheating. Final submission through Turnitin.	Medium
Case study	Written, Audio, Video, Presentation, Poster format	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, or contract cheating in assessment, dependent on format	Resources produced in a format other than written less likely to be subject to contract cheating. Submission where possible through Turnitin. Accompanied by a short account about the process of developing the case study increases integrity	Medium- High
Research Project	Written/ Presentation/Poster	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, dishonest use of data, or contract cheating	Project Plan submission, regular meetings with supervisor, Submission of final work through Turnitin. Face to Face or synchronous online presentation of work	Medium- High





Literature Review/ Annotated Bibliography	Written	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion, contract cheating	Require details of search strategy as part of submission. Include a reflective section on their experience of the process. Submission through Turnitin	Low- Medium
Production of a resource	Written, Audio, Video, Infographic, Website or Page/ Tool or Model format	Opportunity for plagiarism, collusion,	Resources produced in a format other than written less likely to be subject to contract cheating. Submission where possible through Turnitin. Accompanied by a short account/ presentation/ interview about how they created the resource increases integrity	Medium- High
Interview/Viva	Face to Face/ Online Remote (concerns the same)	Opportunity for collusion in preparation	Ask students unseen questions about their individual work	High



© 2020 by the University of Liverpool, Centre for Innovation in Education.

Assessment Methods by Ceridwen Coulby is made available under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0</u> International License.